



## School Climate Research Summary: January 2010

Over the past two decades, researchers and educators have increasingly recognized the importance of K-12 school climate. This summary builds on our 2009 school climate research summary (Cohen, McCabe, Michelli, & Pickeral, 2009) and details how school climate is associated with and/or promotes safety, healthy relationships, engaged learning and teaching and school improvement efforts. With a few exceptions, the citations below represent empirical studies that have been published in peer-reviewed journals. (If you would like to receive abstracts for the citations noted below, please write to [info@schoolclimate.org](mailto:info@schoolclimate.org).)

The National School Climate Council (2007) defines school climate and a positive, sustained school climate in the following ways:

School climate is based on patterns of people's experiences of school life and reflects norms, goals, values, interpersonal relationships, teaching and learning practices, and organizational structures.

A sustainable, positive school climate fosters youth development and learning necessary for a productive, contributive, and satisfying life in a democratic society. This climate includes norms, values, and expectations that support people feeling socially, emotionally and physically safe. People are engaged and respected. Students, families and educators work together to develop, live, and contribute to a shared school vision. Educators model and nurture an attitude that emphasizes the benefits of, and satisfaction from, learning. Each person contributes to the operations of the school as well as the care of the physical environment.

While Perry was the first educational leader to explicitly address how school climate affects students and the learning process (Perry, 1908), the rise of the systematic study of school climate grew out of organizational research and studies in

school effectiveness (Anderson, 1982; Creemers & Reezigt, 1999; Kreft, 1993; Miller & Fredericks, 1990; Purkey & Smith, 1983).

Virtually all researchers suggest that there are four essential areas of focus: *Safety* (e.g. rules and norms; physical safety; social-emotional safety); *Relationships* (e.g. respect for diversity; school connectedness/engagement; social support – adults; social support – students; leadership); *Teaching and Learning* (e.g. social, emotional, ethical and civic learning; support for learning; professional relationships); and the *Institutional Environment* (e.g. physical surrounding).

However, there is not yet a consensus about which dimensions are essential to measuring school climate validly<sup>i</sup>. Over time, research will help to refine and develop our understanding of what aspects of school climate can and need to be assessed.

As detailed below, the systematic study of school climate has led to a growing body of research that attests to its importance in a variety of overlapping ways, including social, emotional, intellectual and physical safety; positive youth development, mental health, and healthy relationships; higher graduation rates; school connectedness and engagement; academic achievement; social, emotional and civic learning; teacher retention; and effective school reform.

For the purposes of this summary, research findings will be divided into the following five dimensions: safety, relationships, teaching and learning, the institutional environment and school reform. These dimensions are interconnected. Thus, information in one section may relate to another dimension as well.

### Safety

Feeling safe – socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically – is a fundamental human need. Feeling safe in school powerfully promotes student learning and healthy development (Devine & Cohen, 2007).



However, there is a great deal of research that shows that many students do not feel physically and emotionally safe in schools. For example, a study found evidence that high school students are fearful about going to school because of the violence and personal victimization some of them experience during the school day (Astor, Benbenishty, Zeira, & Vinokur, 2002). Studies have also shown that students feel less safe in large schools and that verbal bullying is more likely to occur at such schools (Lleras, 2008). Our Center's school climate assessment work with thousands of schools across America has shown that the adults in the school community (school personnel and parents/guardians) typically believe that bullying and social violence are a "mild" to "moderately severe" problem while students consistently report that it is a "severe" problem (Cohen, 2006).

Although many urban and economically disadvantaged schools are plagued by physical violence, most students are not exposed to physical violence (Mayer & Furlong, 2010). Unfortunately, this is not the case for social, emotional and intellectual safety. In fact, bully-victim behavior is a serious public health problem. Research from the Health Resources and Services Administration's (HRSA) National Bullying Campaign showed that up to 25% of U.S. students are bullied each year (Melton et al., 1998). As many as 160,000 students may stay home from school on any given day because they are afraid of being bullied (Nansel et al., 2001). The growing trend of cyber bullying penetrates the home via computers and cellular phones. At least one out of three adolescents report being seriously threatened online, and 60% of teens say they have participated in online bullying. A growing body of research has underscored that bully-victim behavior is toxic; it undermines K-12 students' capacity to learn and develop in healthy ways. When students bully and/or are victimized repeatedly, it dramatically increases the likelihood that they will develop significant psychosocial problems over time. Bullying seems to adversely affect the witnesses, too. A recent study of more than 2,000 students (ages 12 to 16) found that those who witnessed bullying reported more feelings of depression, anxiety, hostility and inferiority than either the bullies or victims themselves (Rivers, Poteat, Noret, & Ashurst, 2009).

Homophobia is one of the most common causes of bully-

victim behavior (Birkett, Espelage, & Koenig, 2009). A recent school climate survey of 6,209 middle school and high school students revealed that roughly nine out of ten LGBT students (86.2%) experienced harassment at school in the previous year (Kosciw, Diaz, & Greytak, 2008). In general, differences (e.g. race, gender, disability, socio-economic and/or cultural differences) are a common focus for bullying.

There is growing evidence educators also feel unsafe in schools. A significant number of teachers are threatened and/or assaulted by students every year (Dworkin, Haney, & Telschow, 1998; Novotney, 2009).

Recent research suggests that positive school climate is associated with reduced aggression and violence (Karcher, 2002b; Goldstein, Young, & Boyd, 2008; Brookmeyer, Fanti, & Henrich, 2006) as well as reduced bullying behavior (Kosciw & Elizabeth, 2006; Meyer-Adams & Conner, 2008; Yoneyama & Rigby, 2006; Birkett et al., 2009; Meraviglia, Becker, Rosenbluth, Sanchez, & Robertson, 2003). However, this relationship has not been fully elucidated. One study revealed that the association between school climate and level of aggression and victimization is dependent upon each student's feelings of connectedness to the school (Wilson, 2004). More specifically, "the amount of connectedness experienced by the average student appears to consistently contribute to predicting his or her likelihood of aggression and victimization despite variations in school climate" (Wilson, 2004, p. 1). Future research needs to critically examine the complex set of individual, group and organizational factors that shape this behavior in schools.

What is clear is that comprehensive, ecologically informed violence prevention efforts provide the essential foundation for improvement. Recent reviews of effective school discipline and bully prevention efforts underscore that we need to recognize and target individual, peer, school, family and community processes (Osher, Bear, Sprague & Doyle, 2010; Swearer, Espelage, Vallancourt & Hymel, 2010).

Another important safety-related dimension is rules and norms. Research underscores the importance of school rules and perceived fairness in regard to students' behavior. There



is evidence that schools in which rules are effectively enforced (i.e. better discipline management) have lower rates of student victimization and student delinquency (Gottfredson, Gottfredson, Payne, & Gottfredson, 2005).

## Relationships

The process of learning and teaching is fundamentally relational. The patterns of norms, goals, values and interactions that shape relationships in schools provide an essential foundation for school climate. One of the most important aspects of relationships is how connected people feel to one another. The *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention* (2009) defines school connectedness as “the belief by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals”. There is a growing body of research that suggests that school connectedness is a powerful predictor of and/or is associated with adolescent health and academic outcomes (McNeely, Nonnemaker, & Blum, 2002; Whitlock, 2006; Ruus et al., 2007; Resnick et al., 1997), violence prevention (Karcher, 2002a, 2002b; Skiba et al., 2004), student satisfaction and conduct problems (Loukas, Suzuki, & Horton, 2006). Further, it is a protective factor against risky sexual, violence and drug use behaviors (Catalano, Haggerty, Oesterie, Fleming, & Hawkins, 2004; Kirby, 2001). For a recent summary of this research, see *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention* (2009). This 2009 summary details the range of ways that K-12 schools can promote school connectedness.

From a psychological point of view, relationships refer not only to relations with others but relations with ourselves: how we feel about and take care of ourselves. There is extensive research that school climate has a profound impact on students’ mental and physical health. School climate has been shown to affect middle school students’ self-esteem (Hoge, Smit, & Hanson, 1990), mitigate the negative effects of self-criticism (Kuperminic, Leadbeater, & Blatt, 2001), and affect a wide range of emotional and mental health outcomes (Kuperminic, Leadbeater, Emmons, & Blatt, 1997; Payton et al., 2008; Shochet, Dadds, Ham, & Montague, 2006; Way, Reddy, & Rhodes, 2007). Research has also revealed a positive correlation between school climate and student self-

concept (Cairns, 1987; Heal, 1978; Reynolds, Jones, Leger, & Murgatroyd, 1980; Rutter, Maughan, Mortimore, & Ouston, 1979).

The social emotional climate of a school is also related to the frequency of its students’ substance abuse and psychiatric problems (Kasen, Johnson, & Cohen, 1990; LaRusso, Romer, & Selman, 2008; Ruus et al., 2007; Shochet et al., 2006). More specifically, a positive school climate is linked to lower levels of drug use as well as less self reports of psychiatric problems among high school students (LaRusso et al., 2008). In early adolescence, a positive school climate is predictive of better psychological well-being (Ruus et al., 2007; Shochet et al., 2006).

Moreover, a series of studies revealed that a positive school climate is correlated with decreased student absenteeism in middle school and high school (deJung & Duckworth, 1986; Gottfredson & Gottfredson, 1989; Purkey & Smith, 1983; Reid, 1982; Rumberger, 1987; Sommer, 1985) and with lower rates of student suspension in high school (Wu, Pink, Crain, & Moles, 1982). A growing body of research indicates that positive school climate is critical to effective risk prevention (Berkowitz & Bier, 2005; Catalano, Berglund, Ryan, Lonczak, & Hawkins, 2002; Greenberg et al., 2003) and health promotion efforts (Cohen, 2001; Najaka, Gottfredson, & Wilson, 2002; Rand Corporation, 2004; Wang, Haertel, & Walberg, 1993).

Safe, caring, participatory and responsive school climates tend to foster a greater attachment to school and provide the optimal foundation for social, emotional and academic learning for middle school and high school students (Blum, McNelly, & Rinehart, 2002; Goodenow & Grady, 1993; Lee, Smith, Perry, & Smylie, 1999; Osterman, 2000; Wentzel, 1997). These research findings have contributed to the U.S. Department of Justice (2004), the U.S. Department of Education’s *Safe and Drug Free Schools network*, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2009) and a growing number of State Departments of Education emphasizing the importance of safe, civil and caring schools.



## Teaching and Learning

Teaching and learning represents one of the most important dimensions of school climate. School leaders and teachers should strive to clearly define the sets of norms, goals, and values that shape the learning and teaching environment. Research supports the notion that positive school climate promotes students' ability to learn.

A positive school climate promotes cooperative learning, group cohesion, respect and mutual trust. These particular aspects have been shown to directly improve the learning environment (Ghaith, 2003; Kerr, Ireland, Lopes, Craig, & Cleaver, 2004; Finnan, Schnepel, & Anderson, 2003).

A series of correlational studies have shown that school climate is directly related to academic achievement (Brand, Felner, Shim, Seitsinger, & Dumas, 2003; Brookover, Beady, Flood, Schweitzer, & Wisenbaker, 1977; Brookover, 1978; Brookover & Lezotte, 1979; Freiberg, 1999; Good & Weinstein, 1986; Gottfredson & Gottfredson, 1989; Griffith, 1995; Ma & Klinger, 2000; MacNeil, Prater, & Busch, 2009; Madaus, Airasian, & Kellaghan, 1980; Rutter, 1983; Rutter et al., 1979; Shipman, 1981; Stewart, 2008; Fleming et al., 2005) and that its effect seems to persist years later (Hoy, Hannum, & Tschannen-Moran, 1998). Researchers have also looked at the relationship between school climate and academic achievement in relation to student classroom participation. When students are encouraged to participate in academic learning, the potential for academic achievement increases (Voelkl, 1995; Ladd, Birch, & Buhs, 1999).

Teacher support is integral to student achievement. Research shows that the student-teacher relationship in kindergarten is related to later academic and behavioral outcomes for students (Pianta, Steinberg, & Rollins, 1995; Hamre & Pianta, 2001). If a teacher-student relationship is negative and conflictual in kindergarten, it is more likely that the student will have behavioral and academic problems in later grades (Hamre & Pianta, 2001). Also, teachers' interactions with students can directly affect students' behavioral and emotional engagement in the classroom (Skinner & Belmont, 1993). When teachers

support and interact positively with students, then students are more likely to be engaged and behave appropriately (Skinner & Belmont, 1993).

The specific nature and goals of K-12 instruction impact academic achievement in a variety of ways. Educators (like parents) are always teaching social, emotional, civic, and ethical as well as intellectual lessons, intentionally or not. Research shows that evidence-based character education programs lead to higher achievement scores in elementary school students (Benninga, Berkowitz, Kuehn, & Smith, 2003). Also, evidence-based social and emotional learning programs have resulted in impressive gains in achievement test scores and in increasing the academic emphasis of elementary and middle school students (Battistich, Schaps, & Wilson, 2004; Bradshaw, Koth, Thornton, & Leaf, 2009; Elias & Haynes, 2008). A recent meta-analysis of over 700 positive youth development, social emotional learning (SEL) and character education studies revealed evidence-based SEL programs had many significant positive effects, including improving students' achievement test scores by 11 to 17 percentile points (Payton et al., 2008)<sup>ii</sup>.

Implementing learning activities beyond the classroom is an effective way to incorporate civic education into a school and these activities, in turn, promote student learning. Encouraging active and collaborative learning through authentic projects is most effective in an environment with a civic mission that encourages trusting relationships between all members of the school community (Carnegie Corporation of New York & Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Education, 2003; Wentzel, 1997; Skinner & Chapman, 1999).

Service learning projects promote civic education because these activities teach students how to apply classroom material to real life situations (Morgan & Streb, 2001; Bandura, 2001; Torney-Purta, Lehmann, Oswald, & Schulz, 2001). For example, activities like community service and debates enhance the learning environment by providing students opportunities to participate and begin forming their own opinions of social and government systems (Torney-Purta, 2002; Youniss et al., 2002). Moreover, when these activities are presented in a



collaborative environment, they encourage students to interact and build upon one another's ideas (Wentzel & Watkins, 2002; Ghaith, 2003). If students are given ownership and choice in their service learning projects, there is evidence that students' self concept and tolerance for diversity will increase (Morgan & Streb, 2001).

Furthermore, school climate influences how educators feel about being in school and how they teach. Recent research shows that school climate powerfully affects the lives of educators and teacher retention. School climate enhances or minimizes emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and feelings of low personal accomplishment (Grayson & Alvarez, 2008) as well as attrition (Miller, Brownell, & Smith, 1999). Research shows that when teachers feel supported by both the principal and their peers, teachers are more committed to their profession (Singh & Billingsley, 1998). A positive school climate is also associated with the development of teachers' beliefs that they can positively affect student learning (Hoy & Woolfolk, 1993). The National Commission on Teaching and America's Future defines school climate in terms of a learning community and argues that poor school climate is an important factor contributing to teacher retention (Fulton, Yoon, & Lee, 2005).

## Institutional Environment

In this section, we briefly review recent research about how smaller schools can greatly improve school climate and how the physical layout of the school can affect safety.

There are various benefits to smaller schools for student achievement, safety, and relationships among members of the school community. Smaller schools are positively correlated to school connectedness (McNelly et al., 2002). In addition, research suggests that, at the middle-school level, smaller schools lead to better academic performance though the picture is more complicated at the elementary and high school levels (Stevenson, 2006). However, reducing the school size is not the only way to improve the school environment. Instead, a school should strive to form smaller learning communities as a way to improve the learning environment (Cotton, 2001). School space is another environmental dimension that impacts

students' feelings about safety. Astor and colleagues' research (2001) demonstrated that students felt unsafe in unsupervised areas of the school building. In fact, there is a growing body of research that illuminates how environmental variables such as classroom layout, activity schedules and student-teacher interactions can influence student behaviors and feelings of safety (Conroy & Fox, 1994; Van Acker, Grant, & Henry, 1996).

## School Climate and Improvement

School climate is an important factor in the successful implementation of school reform programs (Bulach & Malone, 1994; Dellar, 1998; Gittelsohn et al., 2003; Gregory, Henry, & Schoeny, 2007). For example, teachers' perceptions of school climate influences their ability to implement school-based character and development programs (Beets et al., 2008). Studies about the implementation of character education programs suggest that the most effective ones are those incorporated into the school curriculum and developed holistically with the school community (Kerr et al., 2004).

Some of the most important research that elucidates the relationship between school climate and school improvement efforts emerged from a multi-year study of schools in Chicago. Bryk and his colleagues found evidence that schools with high relational trust (good social relationships among members of the school community) are more likely to make changes that improve student achievement (Bryk & Schneider, 2002). In their most recent summary of this work, Bryk and his colleagues (2010) detail how the following four systems interact in ways that support or undermine school improvement efforts: (i) professional capacity (e.g. teachers' knowledge and skills; support for teacher learning; and school-based learning communities); (ii) order, safety and norms (labeled as "school learning climate"); (iii) parent-school-community ties; and (iv) instructional guidance (e.g. curriculum alignment and the nature of academic demands). These dimensions shape the process of teaching and learning. The authors underscore how their research has shown relational trust is the "glue" or the essential element that coordinates and supports these four processes (Bryk, Sebring, Allensworth, Luppescu, & Easton, 2010).



## Summary

School climate – by definition – reflects students', school personnel's, and parents' experiences of school life socially, emotionally, civically, ethically as well as academically. Over the past two decades, research studies from a range of historically disparate fields (e.g. risk prevention, health promotion, character education, mental health, and social-emotional learning) have identified research-based school improvement guidelines that converge predictably to promote safe, caring, responsive and participatory schools (American Psychological Association, 2003; Centers for Disease Control, 2009; Benninga et al., 2003; Berkowitz & Bier, 2005; Greenberg et al., 2003).

School climate matters. Positive and sustained school climate is associated with and/or predictive of positive youth development, effective risk prevention and health promotion efforts, student learning and academic achievement, increased student graduation rates, and teacher retention. These research findings have contributed to the U.S. Department of Education examining ways to use school climate and culture as an organizing data-driven concept that recognizes the range of pro-social efforts (e.g. character education, social emotional learning, developmental assets, community schools) and risk prevention/mental health promotion efforts that protect children and promote essential social, emotional, ethical and civic learning (Jennings, 2009).

### Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank the following people for their help with this research summary: Philip Brown, Ph.D., Maurice Elisa, Ph.D., Michael Greene, Ph.D., and Jen Morton, Ph.D.

### Suggested citation:

Cohen, J. & Geier, V. K. (2010). *School Climate Research Summary: January 2010*. New York, NY. ([www.schoolclimate.org/climate/research.php](http://www.schoolclimate.org/climate/research.php)).

### Footnotes:

<sup>i</sup>The list of the factors noted above overlaps with recent research by Osher and colleagues (Osher & Kendziora, in press), who found four major factors in their school climate research: safety, challenge, support and social-emotional learning. Felner and his colleagues (2003) have also conducted extensive and sound school climate research for many years (Felner, Aber, Cauce, & Primavera, 1985; Felner et al., 2001). The factors (in the following parentheses) that have emerged from his work overlap with and support the four major factors noted above: Safety (Clarity of Rules and Expectations, Disciplinary Harshness, Safety Problems); Relationships (Negative Peer Interactions, Positive Peer Interactions, Participation in Decision Making, Support for Cultural Pluralism); Teaching and learning (Teacher Support, Instructional Innovation/Relevance, Student Commitment/Achievement Orientation); and, the Institutional Environment (Student Commitment) (Brand et al., 2003). Other research has underscored how the climate of the classroom colors and shapes school climate (Koth, Bradshaw & Leaf, 2008).

<sup>ii</sup>This work overlaps with recent research findings about risk/protective factors which the Search Institute has synthesized into their "developmental assets" framework (Scales & Roehlkepartain, 2003) and 21st Century skills (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2009). Each of these organizations have synthesized important pro-social and risk prevention research findings to develop models and instructional goals that complement the social emotional learning/character education research noted above.

*If you would like to receive abstracts for the citations noted below or care to share other empirical school climate research studies that have been published in peer-reviewed journals, please write to [info@schoolclimate.org](mailto:info@schoolclimate.org).*



## References

- American Psychological Association. (2003). Presidential task force on prevention, promoting strength, resilience, and health in young people, *American Psychologist*, 58(6-7), 425-490.
- Anderson, C. (1982). The search for school climate: a review of the research. *Review of Educational Research*, 52(3), 368-420.
- Astor, R. A., Benbenishty, R., Zeira, A., & Vinokur, A. (2002). School climate, observed risky behaviors, and victimization as predictors of high school students' fear and judgments of school violence as a problem. *Health Education & Behavior*, 29(6), 716-736.
- Astor, R. A., Meyer, H. A., & Pinter, R. O. (2001). Elementary and middle school students' perceptions of violence-prone school sub-contexts. *Elementary School Journal*, 101, 511-528.
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 1-26.
- Battistich, V., Schaps, E., & Wilson, N. (2004). Effects of an elementary school intervention on students' "connectedness" to school and social adjustment during middle school. *The Journal of Primary Prevention*, 24(3), 243-262.
- Beets, M. W., Flay, B. R., Vuchinich, S., Acock, A. C., Li, K., & Allred, C. (2008). School climate and teachers' beliefs and attitudes associated with implementation of the positive action program: A diffusion of innovations model. *Prevention Science*, 9(4), 264-275.
- Benninga, J. S., Berkowitz, M. W., Kuehn, P., & Smith, K. (2003). The relationship of character education implementation and academic achievement in elementary schools. *Journal of Research in Character Education*, 1(1), 19-31.
- Berkowitz, M. W., & Bier, M. C. (2005). *What works in character education: A report for policy makers and opinion leaders*. Character Education Partnership. Retrieved January 20th 2005, from <http://www.characterandcitizenship.org/research/WWCEforpolicymakers.pdf>.
- Birkett, M., Espelage, D. L., & Koenig, B. W. (2009). LGB and questioning students in schools: The moderating effects of homophobic bullying and school climate on negative outcomes. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 38(7), 989-1000.
- Blum, R. W., McNeely, C. A., & Rinehart, P. M. (2002). *Improving the odds: The untapped power of schools to improve the health of teens*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Center for Adolescent Health and Development.
- Bradshaw, C., Koth, C., Thornton, L., & Leaf, P. (2009). Altering school climate through school-wide positive behavioral interventions and supports: Findings from a group-randomized effectiveness trial. *Prevention Science*, 10(2), 100-115.
- Brand, S., Felner, R., Shim, M., Seitsinger, A., & Dumas, T. (2003). Middle school improvement and reform: Development of validation of a school-level assessment of climate, cultural pluralism and school safety. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95(3), 570-588.



- Brookmeyer, K. A., Fanti, K. A., & Henrich, C. C. (2006). Schools, parents, and youth violence: A multilevel, ecological analysis. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 35(4), 504-514.
- Brookover, W. (1978). Elementary school social climate and school achievement. *American Educational Research Journal*, 15, 301-318.
- Brookover, W., Beady, C., Flood, P., Schweitzer, J., & Wisenbaker, J. (1977). *Schools can make a difference*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No ED 145 034).
- Brookover, W. B., & Lezotte, L. W. (1979). *Changes in school characteristics coincident with changes in student achievement* (Occasional Paper No 17). East Lansing: Michigan State University, East Lansing Institute for Research in Teaching. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No ED 181 005).
- Bryk, A. S. & Schneider, B. L. (2002). *Trust in schools: A core resource for improvement*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation Publications.
- Bryk, A. S., Sebring, P. B., Allensworth, E., Luppescu, S., & Easton, J. Q. (2010). *Organizing schools for improvement: Lessons from Chicago*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Bulach, C., & Malone, B. (1994). *The relationship of school climate to implementation of school reform*. *ERS Spectrum*, 12(4), 3-8.
- Cairns, L. G. (1987). Behavior problems. In M. J. Dunkin (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of teaching and teacher education* (pp. 446-452). New York: Pergamon.
- Carnegie Corporation of New York and Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Education. (2003). *The civic mission of schools*. New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York.
- Catalano, R. F., Berglund, M. L., Ryan, J. A. M., Lonczak, H. S., & Hawkins, J. D. (2002). Positive youth development in the United States: Research findings on evaluations of positive youth development programs. *Prevention & Treatment*, 5(15), posted June 24, 2004. [Online]. Available URL: <http://journals.apa.org/prevention/volume5/pre0050015a.html>. Accessed 1 August 2002.
- Catalano, R. F., Haggerty, K. P., Oesterie, S., Fleming, C. B., & Hawkins, J. D. (2004). The importance of bonding to schools for healthy development: Findings from the social development research group. *The Journal of School Health*, 74 (7), 252-262.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2009). *School connectedness: Strategies for increasing protective factors among youth*. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (<http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/AdolescentHealth/pdf/connectedness.pdf>).
- Cohen, J. (2001). Social and emotional education: Core principles and practices. In J. Cohen (Ed.), *Caring classrooms/intelligent schools: The social emotional education of young children*. New York: Teachers College Press. [Online]. Available URL: [www.csee.net/resources/selbook/](http://www.csee.net/resources/selbook/).





- Cohen, J. (2006). Social, emotional, ethical and academic education: Creating a climate for learning, participation in democracy and well-being. *Harvard Educational Review*, 76(2), Summer, 201-237.
- Cohen, J., McCabe, E. M., Michelli, N. M., & Pickeral, T. (2009). School climate: Research, policy, teacher education and practice. *Teachers College Record*, 111(1), 180-213. (Available on: <http://www.tcrecord.org/Content.asp?ContentId=15220>).
- Conroy, M. A., & Fox, J. J. (1994). Setting events and challenging behaviors in the classroom: Incorporating contextual factors into effective intervention plans. *Preventing School Failure*, 38, 29-34.
- Cotton, K. (2001). *New small learning communities: Findings from recent literature*. Northwest Regional Education Laboratory.
- Creemers, B. P. M. & Reezigt, G. J. (1999). *The role of school and classroom climate in elementary school learning environments*. In Freiberg, H. J. (Ed.). *School climate: Measuring, improving and sustaining healthy learning environments*. Philadelphia, PA: Falmer Press.
- DeJung, J. & Duckworth, K. (1986). *High school teachers and their students' attendance: Final report*. Eugene: University of Oregon Center for Education Policy and Management, College of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 266 557).
- Dellar, G. B. (1998). School climate, school improvement and site-based management. *Learning Environments Research*, 1, 353-367.
- Devine, J. & Cohen, J. (2007). *Making your school safe: Strategies to protect children and promote learning*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Dworkin, A. G., Haney, C. A., & Telschow, R. L. (1998). Fear, victimization, and stress among urban public school teachers. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 9(2), 159-171.
- Elias, M. J. & Haynes, N. M. (2008). Social competence, social support, and academic achievement in minority, low-income, urban elementary school children. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 23(4), 474-495.
- Felner, R. D., Aber, M. S., Cauce, A., & Primavera, J. (1985). Adaptation and vulnerability in high-risk adolescents: An examination of environmental mediators. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 13, 365-380.
- Felner, R. D., Favazza, A., Shim, M., Brand, S., Gu, K., & Noonan, N. (2001). Whole school improvement and restructuring as prevention and promotion: Lessons from STEP and the project on high-performance learning communities. *Journal of School Psychology*, 39, 177-202.
- Finnan, C., Schnepel, K., & Anderson, L. (2003). Powerful learning environments: the critical link between school and classroom cultures. *Journal of Education for Students Placed At Risk*, 8(4), 391-418.
- Fleming, C. B., Haggerty, K. P., Catalano, R. F., Harachi, T. W., Mazza, J. J., & Gruman, D. H. (2005). Do social and behavioral characteristics targeted by preventive interventions predict standardized test scores and grades? *Journal of School Health*, 75, 342-349.



- Freiberg, H. J. (Ed.). (1999). *School climate: Measuring, improving and sustaining healthy learning environments*. Philadelphia, PA: Falmer Press.
- Fulton, I. K., Yoon, I., & Lee, C. (2005). *Induction into learning communities*. Washington: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future.
- Ghaith, G. (2003). The relationship between forms of instruction, achievement and perceptions of classroom climate. *Educational Research*, 45, 83-93.
- Gittelsohn, J., Merkle, S., Story, M., Stone, E. J., Steckler, A., Noel, J., et al. (2003). School climate and implementation of the pathways study. *Preventive Medicine*, 37(Supplement 1), S97-S106.
- Goldstein, S. E., Young, A., & Boyd, C. (2008). Relational aggression at school: Associations with school safety and social climate. *Journal of Youth & Adolescence*, 37(6), 641-654.
- Good, T. L. & Weinstein, R. S. (1986). Schools make a difference. *American Psychologist*, 41, 1090-1097.
- Goodenow, C., & Grady, K. E. (1993). The relationship of school belonging and friends' values to academic motivation among urban adolescent students. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 62(1), 60-71.
- Gottfredson, G. D., & Gottfredson, D. C. (1989). School climate, academic performance, attendance, and dropout. Charleston County School District SC; Effective Schools Battery; Teacher Surveys.
- Gottfredson, G. D., Gottfredson, D. C., Payne, A., & Gottfredson, N. C. (2005). School climate predictors of school disorder: Results from national delinquency prevention in school. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 42(4), 421-444.
- Grayson, J. L., & Alvarez, H. K. (2008). School climate factors relating to teacher burnout: A mediator model. *Teaching & Teacher Education*, 24(5), 1349-1363.
- Greenberg, M. T., Weissberg, R. P., O'Brien, M.U., Zins, J. E., Fredericks, L., Resnik, H., & Elias, M. J. (2003). Enhancing school-based prevention and youth development through coordinated social, emotional, and academic learning. *American Psychologist*, 58(6/7), 466-474.
- Gregory, A., Henry, D. B., & Schoeny, M. E. (2007). School climate and implementation of a preventive intervention. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 40(3), 250-260.
- Griffith, J. (1995). An empirical examination of a model of social climate in elementary schools. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 17(1-2), 97-117.
- Hamre, B. K., & Pianta, R. C. (2001). Early teacher-child relationships and the trajectory of children's school outcomes through eighth-grade. *Child Development*, 72(2), 625-638. [Digested in *Clinician's Research: Briefings in Behavioral Science*, 20(2), 3.]



- Heal, K. H. (1978). Misbehavior among school children: the role of the school in strategies for prevention. *Policy and Politics*, 6, 321-333.
- Hoge, D. R., Smit, E. K., & Hanson, S. L. (1990). School experiences predicting changes in self-esteem of sixth and seventh-grade students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 117-127.
- Hoy, W. K., Hannum, J., & Tschannen-Moran, M. (1998). Organizational climate and student achievement: A parsimonious and longitudinal view. *Journal of School Leadership*, 8, 336-359.
- Hoy, W. K., & Woolfolk, A. E. (1993). Teachers' sense of efficacy and the organizational health of schools. *The Elementary School Journal*, 93, 355-372.
- Jennings, K. (2009). Federal Education Priorities and Creating Safe Schools. U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC (Nov. 12, 2009).
- Karcher, M. J. (2002a) Connectedness and school violence: A framework for developmental interventions. In E. Gerler (Ed.), *Handbook of school violence* (7-40). Binghamton, NY: Haworth.
- Karcher, M. J. (2002b). The cycle of violence and disconnection among rural middle school students: Teacher disconnectedness as a consequence of violence. *Journal of School Violence*, 1(1), 35-51.
- Kasen, S. N., Johnson, P. N., & Cohen, P. N. (1990). The impact of social emotional climate on student psychopathology. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 18 (2), 165-177.
- Kerr, D., Ireland, E., Lopes, J., Craig, R., & Cleaver, E. (2004). Citizenship education longitudinal study: Second annual report: First longitudinal study. *National Foundation for Educational Research*, 1-154. Retrieved June 12, 2007 from <http://www.dfes.gov.uk/research/data/uploadfiles/RR531.pdf>.
- Kirby, D. (2001). Understanding what works and what doesn't in reducing adolescent risktaking. *Family Planning Perspectives*, 33(6), 276-281.
- Kosciw, J. G., & Elizabeth M. D. (2006). *The 2005 National School Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth in our nation's schools*. New York: GLSEN.
- Kosciw, J. G., Diaz, E. M., & Greytak, E. A. (2008). *2007 National School Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth in our nation's schools*. New York: GLSEN (available on: [www.glsen.org/cgi-bin/iowa/all/research/index.html](http://www.glsen.org/cgi-bin/iowa/all/research/index.html)).
- Koth, C. W., Bradshaw, C. P., & Leaf, P. J. (2008). Examining the relationship between classroom-level factors and students' perception of school climate. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 100, 96-104.
- Kreft, L. G. G. (1993). Using multilevel analyses to assess school effectiveness: A study of Dutch secondary schools. *Sociology of Education*, 66, 104-129.



- Kuperminic, G. P., Leadbeater, B. J., & Blatt, S. J. (2001). School social climate and individual differences in vulnerability to psychopathology among middle school students. *Journal of School Psychology, 39*, 141-159.
- Kuperminic, G. P., Leadbeater, B. J., Emmons, C., & Blatt, S. J. (1997). Perceived school climate and difficulties in the social adjustment of middle school students. *Applied Developmental Science, 1*, 76-88.
- Ladd, G. W., Birch, S. H., & Buhs, E. S. (1999). Children's social and scholastic lives in kindergarten: Related spheres of influence? *Child Development, 70*(6), 1373-1400.
- LaRusso, M., Romer, D., & Selman, R. (2008). Teachers as builders of respectful school climates: Implications for adolescent drug use norms and depressive symptoms in high school. *Journal of Youth & Adolescence, 37*(4), 386-398.
- Lee, V. E., Smith, J. B., Perry, T. E., & Smylie, M. A. (1999). Social support, academic press, and student achievement: A view from the middle grades in Chicago. Chicago Annenberg Research Project Report. Consortium on Chicago School Research.
- Lleras, C. (2008). Hostile school climates: Explaining differential risk of student exposure to disruptive learning environments in high school. *Journal of School Violence, 7*(3), 105-135.
- Loukas, A., Suzuki, R., & Horton, K. D. (2006). Examining school connectedness as a mediator of school climate effects. *Journal of Research on Adolescence* (Blackwell Publishing Limited), 16(3), 491-502.
- Ma, X., & Klinger, D. A. (2000). Hierarchical linear modeling of student and school effects on academic achievement. *Canadian Journal of Education, 25*, 41-55.
- MacNeil, A. J., Prater, D. L., & Busch, S. (2009). The effects of school culture and climate on student achievement. *International Journal of Leadership in Education, 12*(1), 73-84.
- Madaus, G. F., Airasian, P. W., & Kellaghan, T. (1980). *School effectiveness: A reassessment of the evidence*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Mayer, M. J., & Furlong, M. J. (2010). How safe are our schools? *Educational Researcher, 39*, 16-26.
- McNeely, C. A., Nonnemaker, J. M., & Blum, R. W. (2002). Promoting student connectedness to school: Evidence from the national longitudinal study of adolescent health. *Journal of School Health, 72*, 138-146.
- Melton, G. B., Limber, S., Flerx, V., Cunningham, P., Osgood, D. W., Chambers, J., Henggler, S., & Nation, M. (1998). *Violence among rural youth*. Final report to the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.
- Meraviglia, M. G., Becker, H., Rosenbluth, B., Sanchez, E., & Robertson, T. (2003). The expect respect project: Creating a positive elementary school climate. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 18*(11), 1347-1360.
- Meyer-Adams, N., & Conner, B. T. (2008). School violence: Bullying behaviors and the psychosocial school environment in middle schools. *Children & Schools, 30*(4), 211-221.



- Miller, D. M., Brownell, M. T., & Smith, S. W. (1999). Factors that predict teachers staying in, leaving, or transferring from the special education classroom. *Exceptional Children*, 65, 201-218.
- Miller, S. I., & Fredericks, J. (1990). The false ontology of school climate effects. *Educational Theory*, 40(3), 333-342.
- Morgan, W., & Streb, M. (March, 2001). Building citizenship: How student voice in service-learning develops civic values. *Social Science Quarterly*, 82(1), 155-169.
- Najaka, S. S., Gottfredson, D. C., & Wilson, D. B. (2002). A meta-analytic inquiry into the relationship between selected risk factors and problem behavior. *Prevention Science*, 2, 257- 271.
- Nansel, T., Overpeck, M., Pilla, R. S., Ruan, W. J., Simmons-Morton, B., & Schmidt, P. (2001). Bullying behaviors among US youth. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 285, 2094-2100.
- National School Climate Council. (2007). *The School Climate Challenge: Narrowing the gap between school climate research and school climate policy, practice guidelines and teacher education policy*. On: [www.schoolclimate.org/climate/policy.php](http://www.schoolclimate.org/climate/policy.php).
- Novotney, A. (2009). Violence against teachers is a little-known but significant problem. An APA task force is working to change that. *American Psychological Association Monitor on Psychology*, 40(9), 68.
- Osher, D. & Kendziora, K. (In Press). Building conditions for learning and healthy adolescent development: Strategic approaches. In B. Doll, W. Pfohl, & J. Yoon (Eds.) *Handbook of Youth Prevention Science*. New York: Routledge.
- Osher, D., Bear, G.B., Sprague, J.R. & Doyle, W. (2010). How can we improve school discipline? *Educational Researcher*, 39, 1, 48-58.
- Osterman, K. F. (2000). Students' need for belonging in the school community. *Review of Educational Research*, 70, 323-367.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills (2009). *The Intellectual and Policy Foundations of the 21st Century Skills Framework*. (Available on: [www.21stcenturyskills.org](http://www.21stcenturyskills.org)).
- Payton, J., Weissberg, R. P., Durlak, J. A., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., Schellinger, K. B., & Pachan, M. (2008). *The positive impact of social and emotional learning for kindergarten to eighth-grade students: Findings from three scientific reviews*. Chicago, IL: Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning.
- Perry, A. (1908). *The management of a city school*. New York: Macmillan.
- Pianta, R. C., Steinberg, M., & Rollins, K. (1995). The first two years of school: teacher-child relationships and deflections in children's classroom adjustment. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7, 295-312.
- Purkey, S., & Smith, M. (1983). Effective schools: a review. *The Elementary School Journal*, 83(4), 427-452.
- Rand Corporation (2004). *Focus on the wonder years: Challenges facing the American middle school*. A report prepared for the Edna McConnell Clark Foundation (J. Juvonen, V-N Le, T. Kaganoff, C. Augustine, & L. Constant). Santa Monica, CA: RAND



Corporation (Retrieved on January 6, 2005: [www.rand.org](http://www.rand.org)).

Reid, K. (1982). Retrospection and persistent school absenteeism. *Educational Research*, 25, 110-115.

Resnick, M. D., Bearman, P. S., Blum, R. W., Bauman, K. E., Harris, K. M., Jones, J., Tabor, J., Beuhring, T., Sieving, R. E., Shew, M., Ireland, M., Bearinger, L. H., & Udry, J. R. (1997). Protecting adolescents from harm: Findings from the national longitudinal study on adolescent health. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 278, 823-832.

Reynolds, D., Jones, D., St. Leger, S., & Murgatroyd, S. (1980). School factors and truancy. In L. Hersove & I. Berg (Eds.), *Out of school: Modern perspectives in truancy and school refusal*. Chichester, England: Wiley.

Rivers, I., Poteat, V. P., Noret, N., Ashurst, N. (2009). Observing bullying at school: The mental health implications of witness status. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 24(4), 211-223.

Rumberger, R. (1987). High school dropouts: A review of issues and evidence. *Review of Education Research*, 57, 1-29.

Rutter, M. (1983). School effects on pupil progress: Research findings and policy implications. *Child Development*, 54, 1-29.

Rutter, M., Maughan, B., Mortimore, P., & Ouston, J. (1979). *Fifteen thousand hours: Secondary schools and their effects on children*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Ruus, V., Veisson, M., Leino, M., Ots, L., Pallas, L., Sarv, E., & Veisson, A. (2007). Students' well-being, coping, academic success, and school climate. *Social Behavior & Personality: An International Journal*, 35(7), 919-936.

Scales, C., & Roehlkepartain, E. C. (2003). Boosting student achievement: New research on the power of developmental assets. *Search Institute Insights & Evidence*, 1(1), 1-10. (Available on: <http://www.search-institute.org/research/assets>).

Shipman, C. V. (1981). *Schools can and do make a difference: Finding from the ETS longitudinal study of young children and their first school experience*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service, Office for Minority Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No ED 243 984).

Shochet, I. M., Dadds, M. R., Ham, D., & Montague, R. (2006). School connectedness is an underemphasized parameter in adolescent mental health: Results of a community prediction study. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 35, 170-179.

Singh, K., & Billingsley, B. S. (1998). Professional support and its effects on teachers' commitment. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 91(4), 229-239.

Skiba, R., Simmons, A. B., Peterson, R., McKelvey, J., Forde, S., & Gallini, S. (2004). Beyond guns, drugs and gangs: The structure of student perceptions of school safety. *Journal of School Violence*, 3(2/3), 149-171.

Skinner, E. & Belmont, M. (1993). Motivation in the classroom: Reciprocal effects of teacher behavior and student engagement across the school year. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 571-581.



- Skinner, R., & Chapman, C. (1999). *Service-learning and community service in K-12 public schools*. Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Sommer, B. (1985). What's different about truants? A comparison study of eighth graders. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 14, 411-422.
- Stevenson, K. R. (2006). *School size and its relationship to student outcomes and school climate: A review and analysis of eight South Carolina state-wide studies*. National Clearinghouse for Educational Facilities. (Available on: [www.edfacilities.org/pubs/size\\_outcomes.pdf](http://www.edfacilities.org/pubs/size_outcomes.pdf)).
- Stewart, E. B. (2008). School structural characteristics, student effort, peer associations, and parental involvement: The influence of school- and individual-level factors on academic achievement. *Education & Urban Society*, 40(2), 179-204.
- Swearer, S.M., Espelage, D.L. Vallancourt, T & Hymel, S. (2010). What can be done about school bullying? Linking research to educational practice. *Educational Researcher*, 39, 1, 38-47.
- Torney-Purta, J. (2002). The school's role in developing civic engagement: A study of adolescents in twenty-eight countries. *Applied Developmental Science*, 6(4), 203-212.
- Torney-Purta, J., Lehmann, R., Oswald, H., & Schulz, W. (2001). *Citizenship and education in twenty-eight countries*. Amsterdam: International Association for the Evaluation of Education Achievement. (Available: <http://www.wam.umd.edu/~iea>).
- U.S. Department of Justice (2004). *Toward safe and orderly schools—The National study of delinquency prevention in schools*. Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice, report #205005 ([www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij)).
- Van Acker, R., Grant, S. H., & Henry, D. (1996). Teacher and student behavior as a function of risk for aggression. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 19, 316-334.
- Voelkl, K. A. (1995). School warmth, student participation, and achievement. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 63, 127–138.
- Wang, M. C., Haertel, G. D., & Walberg, H. J. (1993). Toward a knowledge base for school learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 63, 249-294.
- Way, N., Reddy, R., & Rhodes, J. (2007). Students' perceptions of school climate during the middle school years: Associations with trajectories of psychological and behavioral adjustment. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 40(3), 194-213.
- Wentzel, K. (1997). Student motivation in middle school: The role of perceived pedagogical caring. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89(3), 411-419.
- Wentzel, K. R., & Watkins, D. E. (2002). Peer relationships and collaborative learning as contexts for academic enablers. *School Psychology Review*, 31(3), 366-367.



- Whitlock, J. L. (2006). Youth perceptions of life in school: Contextual correlates of school connectedness in adolescence. *Applied Developmental Science*, 10(1), 13-29.
- Wilson, D. (2004). The interface of school climate and school connectedness and relationships with aggression and victimization. *Journal of School Health*, 74(7), 293-299.
- Wu, S., Pink, W., Crain, R., & Moles, O. (1982). Student suspension: A critical reappraisal. *The Urban Review*, 14(4), 245-303.
- Yoneyama, S., & Rigby, K. (2006). Bully/victim students & classroom climate. *Youth Studies Australia*, 25(3), 34-41.
- Youniss, J., Bales, S., Christmas-Best, V., Diversi, M., McLaughlin, M., & Silbereisen, R. (2002). Youth civic engagement in the twenty-first century. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 12(1), 121-148.