

**SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB)
MINUTES**

Friday, May 15, 2020

**THIS MEETING WAS HELD VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING
ONLY**

SOMB Members

Allison Boyd
Amanda Gall
Angel Weant
Carl Blake
Christina Ortiz-Marquez
Glenn Knipscheer
Jeff Shay
Jesse Hansen
John Odenheimer
Kandy Moore
Kathy Heffron
Kimberly Kline
Lisa Mayer
Marcelo Kopcow
Nicole Leon
Norma Aguilar-Dave
Richard Bednarski
Rick May
Robin Singer
Steve Moreno
Taber Powers
Tom Lerversee

SOMB Guests

Alison Talley
Alysha Lavergne
Amira Minazzi
Angie Reynolds
Ashley Nelson
Brandi Griffiths
Casey Ballinger
Charlene Gigg
Debra Baty
Denise Metz
Gabriel Garcia
Genie Connaghan
Jacque Sumida
Jamie Spinello
Jessica Meza
Kristin Ladd
Kristine Rolfes
LaTonya Harris
Lauren Rivas
Laurie Kepros
Leslie Quitmeyer
Lindsay Klatt
Marlene Amat
Marni Lyons
Mary Blevins
Melissa Parkowski-Helmer
Michelle Neergaard
Nicole Dieterle
Pat Harris
Rachelle Boespflug
Robin Vasquez
Roger Kincade
Sara Horn
Sara Strufing
Sarah LoBiondo
Sarah Marlow
Selena Jameson
Sera Bennett
Seth Ardrey
Stephen Scippio
Steve Vigil
Tanya Ahmed
Thomas Munholland
Wendy Kendall

Absent SOMB Members: Sharon Holbrook

Staff: Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Marina Borysov, Elliot Moen, Erin Austin, Jill Trowbridge, and Yuanting Zhang

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:05 am

This meeting was recorded.

ORIENTATION TO THE MEETING:

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) reviewed the various aspect of this virtual meeting and how it will be conducted. She noted she will be the contact for technical support.

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Marina Borysov announced the SOMB members in attendance.

Elliot Moen announced the staff members in attendance.

Erin Austin announced the guests in attendance.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

SOMB Members:

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) indicated that the committee for the Strategic Planning Action item on Communications has received the survey data, and noted the team will review this information and present the results to the SOMB at a future SOMB meeting.

Audience:

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) recommended that the SOMB offer training that would help clients, providers, and stakeholders to deal with the social distancing stress, isolation, and grief with which they are faced with. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) responded that the SOMB has conducted a lunch and learn training for treatment providers on self-care that addressed these issues, and noted that the SOMB staff is continuing to work with treatment providers regarding self-care and how to help their clients. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) mentioned that this could be replicated for the Board and other stakeholders as needed.

Tom Leversee (SOMB Member) suggested sending out a series of SOMB communications that will acknowledge the awareness of self-care and to solicit ideas as to how to deal with these issues.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that she and Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) have processed over 200 variances to use Tele-therapy or E-therapy as an alternative treatment modality, and noted that the number of these variance requests being submitted are now slowing down. She indicated that the variance deadline will be extended to June 1st so that Tele-therapy and E-therapy can continue. Erin Austin mentioned that the SOMB staff will keep all informed as to what happens with the Safer-at-Home protocol, and indicated this process may have to be extended longer. She noted that the SOMB staff will continue to supply technical assistance to all stakeholders as needed. Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) thanked the SOMB for reacting so quickly to modify treatment processes so clients can continue with treatment during these times.

Board Members:

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Member) asked the SOMB for a longer time than a 10-day notification when the Tele-therapy and E-therapy variance has expired, and she mentioned that stakeholders will need additional time in order to plan ahead for what the new protocols will be. Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) asked if this request should be discussed with the Executive Committee. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded yes, and indicated that the Tele-therapy and E-therapy might be extended further to allow for transition back to face-to-face treatment. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated the he is also having that same planning issues, and suggested a 30-day transition timeframe back to face-to-face treatment.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) announced that the ODVSOM 2020 Conference has been cancelled, and indicated that the staff is working diligently to offer stakeholders additional virtual training opportunities for self-care and to fulfill licensure training requirements.

Judge Kopcow (SOMB Chair) and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that there will be an abbreviated virtual SOMB meeting on June 19th.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) also noted that there is a tentative conjoint meeting scheduled for July 17th with the DVOMB and the SOMB, and mentioned it will be either virtual or will be held at the PPA Event Center. He indicated that the SOMB will decide the meeting details in the next few weeks, and asked all stakeholders to keep both of these dates on their calendars.

Audience Members:

None

APPROVAL OF APRIL MINUTES:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) moved to approve the April Minutes.

Norma Aguilar-Dave (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Elliot Moen explained the WebEx platform polling process for voting.

Motion to approve the April Minutes: Carl Blake; Norma Aguilar-Dave 2nd (Question #1)

21	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

The Agenda was approved by consensus.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that Raechel Alderete is absent from this meeting due to a family emergency.

ADULT AND JUVENILE STANDARDS SECTIONS 4.000 AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES (Public Comment and Ratification) - (Attachment #2) – Erin Austin, DCJ, and Carl Blake, Application Review Committee

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that the public comment for the Adult and Juvenile Standards Sections 4.000 and the Administrative Policies was extended one additional week to May 13th. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky mentioned that no additional comments were received, and noted that these revisions were clean-up language due to changes in provider approvals and administrative policy process changes. He reviewed the current public comments, and mentioned that the SOMB will continue to increase their oversight to ensure provider accountability. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that due to staff and committee specificity changes that there will be increased oversight of provider accountability. He also noted that there may be future changes in the By-Laws and SOMB Administrative Policies to address oversight of provider accountability.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that the increased oversight and standardized operating procedures also falls within realm of the Process Consistency Strategic workgroup.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) reviewed the public comments, and indicated that the current oversight procedure is not a new change in process. He mentioned that this oversight has been ongoing for the past few years. Carl Blake noted that the Application Review Committee (ARC) decides via consensus if a compliance review is

necessary after reviewing the investigation information. He described the ARC process when a concern is raised when no official complaint is filed. He also indicated that when a "for cause" is identified, the process is very lengthy and complicated due to deeper investigation and paperwork requests. Carl indicated that the four Standards compliance reviews conducted during the past year are those that were completed, and noted that they were not the total number of reviews that have been done or are still in process.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded to the public comment about a providers inability to be reviewed again for six years whether done randomly or "for cause." He mentioned that there is a mechanism for these cases to be reviewed again within the six-year timeframe if cause is warranted. Carl Blake noted that the ARC will continue to clean up the language and will work to update the process. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) asked Carl Blake if this language could be described in more detail in the next Legislative Report. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that he will help with the compilation of this information for that report.

Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) also noted that he and Carl Blake (SOMB Staff) will continue to work on clarifying this information for the next Legislative Report.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) moved to ratify Adult and Juvenile Standards Sections 4.000 and Administrative Policies.

Richard Bednarski (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Christina Ortiz-Marquez (SOMB Member) temporarily left the meeting at 9:54 am.

Motion to Ratify the documents as presented: Carl Blake; Richard Bednarski 2nd (Question #2)

20	Approve	0	Oppose	1	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

SOMB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE UPDATE (Presentation): Judge Marcelo Kopcow, Chair, and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that this presentation will be an overview of what the SOMB Executive Committee is and on what the Committee is currently working. Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) noted that this committee meets on the fourth Wednesday of each month following the SOMB ARC meeting at 12:00 noon. He mentioned this committee discusses any decisions from the previous SOMB meeting, discusses time-sensitive issues, creates future SOMB meeting agendas, helps with the annual SOMB Conference planning, and strategizes on the SOMB direction and policies. Marcelo Kopcow noted that the Committee is made up of himself, Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff), John Odenheimer (SOMB Vice-Chair), Carl Blake (SOMB Member), and other SOMB program staff. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that the Committee typically sets the monthly SOMB meeting agenda, discusses future agenda items, sets committees (both long-term and short-term), discusses Bylaws changes, assigns committee chairs, oversees the strategic plans, and reviews public comments and directs the SOMB staff as to how to respond. He also noted that those with concerns or issues can reach out to Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair), John Odenheimer (Vice-Chair), or Carl Blake (SOMB Member), and indicated that they will address those issues or concerns.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) asked all to reach out to the committee chairs if they have any specific questions or concerns, and he invited all stakeholders to attend the various committees, whether virtually or in-person (when allowed).

Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) noted that the Executive Committee is very accessible and responsive.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that the Executive Committee ensures the work of the SOMB stays within the scope of the Statutory requirements.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

SOMB SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION LEGISLATIVE WORK GROUP (Presentation): Jeff Shay, SOMB Member, and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) reviewed the background of the SOMB Sex Offender Registration Legislative Work Group committee. He indicated that sex offender registration is outside the scope of the SOMB, but noted that the SOMB is mandated to provide technical assistance when community notification meetings occur. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky mentioned that there are alternate ways notification is made to the public such as via social media, press releases, town hall meetings, and other types of media. He indicated that the SOMB staff provides technical assistance when needed, and mentioned that this committee meets quarterly. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky also noted that this committee is a resource for law enforcement that helps when various situations are encountered, to include those registrants who become incapacitated and are unable to appear for their regular check-ins. He indicated that this committee also makes recommendations in the Legislative Report. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky went on to discuss the concerns faced regarding juvenile registration and the personal information that is disclosed. He also indicated the need for a disaster management plan in light of COVID-19 and the challenges this has caused. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that the Colorado Bureau of Investigation (CBI), the state agency responsible for the registry, attend this committee, along with the Department of Corrections and law enforcement, and noted this committee was created to manage the offenders in the community. Jeff Shay (SOMB Member) representing law enforcement indicated that law enforcement representation is now part of the Community Supervision Teams (CSTs) in Pueblo as a new model.

Board Discussion:

Tom Leversee (SOMB Member) expressed appreciation for the perspective that law enforcement is taking on this committee, and suggested that the SOMB offer training on the CST model that Jeff Shay has proposed

Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) asked if the community is looking to the SOMB to create policy on pandemics or other emergencies, and asked if other agencies are working on this. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that the SOMB is well-suited to be included in the sex offender community notifications in that they have input from all stakeholders, but do not have purview. He noted that the CBI has the purview, and mentioned that the SOMB and CBI are working closely with all stakeholders to provide training. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that the SOMB has the ability to take stakeholder concerns to the responsible agencies.

Audience Discussion:

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) also noted the need to disseminate information that points out “scams” and potential fraud that is being sent to registrants.

Marlene Amat (Audience Member) thanked Jeff Shay and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky for the summary of the committee duties.

VARIANCE (Decision Item): (Attachment #3) – Melissa Parkowski-Helmer, Approved Provider, and Carl Blake, ARC

Carl Blake (ARC Member) reviewed the variance process handled through the ARC, and indicated that the ARC determines what type of a variance is being requested. He noted that the ARC also anticipates the types of questions that may occur when a variance is presented to the SOMB. Carl Blake indicated that this variance is not related to a client’s appeal case, but rather the need for the client to continue some type of treatment in the coming months even though he has not disclosed.

Melissa Parkowski-Helmer (Treatment Provider) gave an in-depth background of this variance request, and indicated that she would like to continue with treatment even though the client continues to deny his crime of conviction. She went on to give specific background information for this client, and indicated he would like to continue with treatment. Melissa noted that his risk to re-offend has decreased while in treatment, and she indicated she would like to modify his current treatment from full-level sex offense specific treatment to healthy sexual relationships and healthy boundaries. She mentioned this treatment will vary from the “deniers’ protocol,” and noted this is the reason for the treatment variance.

Board Discussion:

Tom Leversee (SOMB Member) asked Melissa Parkowski-Helmer if the client will be discharged from Parole in late 2020. Melissa Parkowski-Helmer responded, ‘yes.’ Tom Leversee noted that the client will be discharged either way, and expressed support of this treatment variance due to the fact that he is engaged in treatment, is employed, and has prosocial support with peers.

Amanda Gall (SOMB Member) expressed concern with the polygraph results. Melissa Parkowski-Helmer reviewed the questions asked of the client on the polygraph exam. Amanda Gall expressed concern that the client remains in denial, and needs a maximum level of supervision. She noted that the risk factors could not be identified. Melissa Parkowski-Helmer reviewed his 2018 evaluation which indicated reduced risk to public safety. She mentioned that this client has been living in the community for nine months, and noted he has changed some of his behaviors which include being more open to the discussions around unhealthy sexual behaviors.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) expressed concern about the following points of this case:

- The age of the victim;
- The original charges were more serious, and that this individual was sentenced to prison and not community corrections;
- That consent was not relevant in this situation as the victim was four years old; and
- That the treatment relies too heavily on the results of the polygraph.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) indicated that this case is not a boundaries issue, and noted that this is a child molestation case. She asked if the Parole officer was invited to this SOMB meeting. Melissa Parkowski-Helmer responded that she did not know to invite the Parole officer. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that letters from the Parole officer and the victim representative were reviewed by the ARC but inadvertently not provided to

Board members (they are subsequently provided during the meeting). Melissa Parkowski-Helmer reviewed the content of these letters.

John Odenheimer (SOMB Member) noted that putting the polygraph results aside, he asked therapists that are using the risk-needs-responsivity treatment model if it is possible to incorporate the adult protocol guidelines without having to apply for a variance with the SOMB. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) responded that the Standards indicate that a client "shall" be discharged from treatment if in level 3 denial, which is that the client is in denial, pled guilty to the crime of conviction, and has been sentenced.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) noted that this client has 8-12 months left in his treatment, he is making progress while still in denial, and indicated that this client continues to work on his life skills which will make him lower risk and the community safer. Taber went on to mention that this is not ideal, but noted this treatment is addressing his risk and needs.

Melissa Parkowski-Helmer indicated that the Victim Representative reviewed the polygraph examination, the available reports and documents, and did not feel the interview was fully conducted with the victim regarding sexual contact. She also noted that the Victim Representative noted the following information:

- Indicated that the family did not directly witness sexually abusive actions
- Indicated that the client had no further direct or indirect with the family
- Indicated that no contact is expected in the future
- Indicated the client should remain in weekly offense-specific treatment
- Indicated to not overtreat or over-supervise this client

Melissa Parkowski-Helmer mentioned the Victim Representative recommended the client work on socialization skills, empathy, enhancing prosocial interaction, internalizing principles of healthy sexuality, and developing appropriate relationships.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked if additional information that the child provided or additional information regarding sexual behaviors of this client are available. Melissa Parkowski-Helmer responded that she may not have that information. He asked that if this variance is granted if she will continue to provide treatment that addresses the denial issue with this client. Melissa Parkowski-Helmer responded that she will continue to look for ways for this client to disclose.

***Tom Leverage (SOMB Member) moved to approve the variance as presented.
Carl Blake (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.***

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) indicated that the victim was interviewed and did make disclosures, and was concerned that the Victim Representative did not know that had happened.

Glenn Knipscheer (SOMB Member) mentioned that after reviewing the polygraph reports, the results did not exonerate the client from having sexual contact with a 4-year old. He expressed concern if what the 4-year old disclosed actually happened.

Angel Weant (SOMB Member) noted that while this client has not much time left in treatment, that the treatment should focus on his risk factors.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated the need to trust the Community Supervision Team (CST) who know what is in the best interest of the client and the community.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) indicated the following:

Christina Ortiz-Marquez (SOMB Member) returned to the meeting at 10:15 am.

Nicole Leon (SOMB Member) arrived at the meeting at 10:50 am.

Nicole Leon (SOMB Member) asked how we can ensure that this treatment provider addresses the other client issues and not successfully discharging the client with healthy boundaries.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) responded to Nicole Leon that the ARC reviews the variances, and noted that a condition of this variance is to review the client's progress in six months. She also mentioned that the Adult Standards Section 3.560 is the section that applies to the client's extension of treatment.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Member) asked that if the variance is granted, and the client continues with treatment if he could be successfully discharged without addressing his denial. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded yes that he will not have to be discharged from treatment, and noted the variance will allow treatment continuation with a possible successful outcome.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that the ARC can continue to follow-up with Melissa Parkowski-Helmer regarding this client's treatment, and mentioned his sentence may end before disclosure is made.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that this client will not successfully complete offense specific treatment, and suggested including a disclaimer explaining why this will not happen.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) mentioned that the ARC members can vote on this as the ARC has not previously made a decision on this variance.

Audience Discussion:

Wendy Kendall (Audience Member) who is a Parole Supervisor as part of the team who staffed the case with Melissa Parkowski-Helmer, expressed concern that the Parole Officer representation was not included in this discussion, and agreed that some treatment is better than no treatment.

Motion to approve the Variance to Section 3.560 as presented: Tom Lerversee; Carl Blake 2nd

(Question #3)

17	Approve	3	Oppose	2	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

It was decided to switch the order of the agenda.

LIFETIME SUPERVISION CRITERIA (Action Item) – (No Attachment) – Tom Lerversee, Best Practices Committee, Angel Weant, SOMB Member, and Raechel Alderete, DCJ

Angel Weant (SOMB Member) indicated that the Lifetime Supervision Criteria is found in the Adult Standards and Guidelines as an Appendix, and noted that this information is compiled by the Department of Corrections (DOC), the Probation Department, and the Division of Criminal Justice (DCJ). She reviewed that questions have arisen regarding the placement of this as an Appendix. Angel indicated that there is some collaboration between the three agencies, but noted that each agency uses this information independently. She proposed to have this report be put someplace other than as an Appendix to the Standards, and mentioned that it could possibly be placed on the SOMB website or the Judicial website which could be updated when necessary.

Board Discussion:

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that this report has been reviewed by the Best Practices Committee who indicate that this process be compliant with Statute, which states that the "SOMB shall collaborate" with the other agencies, but not specifically publish this report. He noted that all stakeholders could have access to this if placed on the Judicial website, and mentioned that it makes more sense for Probation and Parole to publish this information in collaboration with DCJ. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky asked the SOMB if they are comfortable with the Lifetime Supervision Criteria report being published by Judicial and DOC with collaboration from DCJ.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) noted that it makes the most sense to continue to collaborate, but to have this information be placed somewhere else. He noted he and the SOMB had proposed revisions that use the same language that is in the Standards, but indicated that the content is supplied by Probation and Parole.

John Odenheimer (SOMB Member) commented that this proposal make sense, and noted that the DOC does not embrace the statutory intent of the Lifetime Supervision Act. He reviewed a case that clarified his comment.

***Amanda Gall (SOMB Member) moved to approve the protocol as presented.
Kimberly Kline (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.***

Christina Ortiz-Marques (SOMB Member) responded to John Odenheimer that there is a shortage of resources as to why the DOC doesn't always follow the lifetime supervision criteria protocol.

Tom Leverage (SOMB Member) expressed support of this proposal as long as all three agencies are clear about the collaboration process and the role of the SOMB in this process.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB) noted that if this meeting was in-person, the decision for this "action item" would have been made via consensus. He indicated that since that is not possible, a "poll" or "vote" will be taken for this item.

Norma Aguilar-Dave left the meeting at 11:30 am

Audience Discussion:

None

Motion to approve the protocol as presented: Amanda Gall; Kimberly Kline 2nd (Question #4)

20	Approve	0	Oppose	2	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

SOMB BEST PRACTICES COMMITTEE PROTOCOL (Decision Item) – (Attachment #4) – Tom Leverage, Best Practices Committee, and Raechel Alderete, DCJ

Tom Leverage (SOMB Member) reviewed the background of this committee that is comprised of 80% treatment providers which directly impacts the Standards revisions process. He indicated that the Best Practices Committee has not been overseeing all changes to the Standards from other committees as Statute states; therefore, a protocol has been created. Tom Leverage reviewed the proposed protocols and the rationale behind each protocol as indicated in the attachment.

Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) indicated that this is an action item for the Mission, Purpose, Alignment Strategic Planning workgroup, and expressed appreciation for this protocol as indicated by Statute.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) clarified that this discussion is to approve the protocol which will eventually be included as a standard operating procedure for the Best Practices Committee along with the inclusion of this as a future By Law or policy.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

***Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) moved to approve the protocol as presented.
Carl Blake (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.***

Motion to approve the Protocol as presented: Kathy Heffron; Carl Blake 2nd (Question #5)

20	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

JUVENILE TRANSFER TO ADULT COURT WHITE PAPER (White Paper) - (Attachment #5) – Tom Leverage, Best Practices Committee, and Elliot Moen, DCJ

Tom Leverage (SOMB Member) presented the background information as to the creation of information concerning juveniles transferred to adult court. He noted that Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) has researched the Colorado and national research and data and put together this white paper concerning juvenile cases that are transferred to Adult Court.

Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) noted that this information has been gathered since 2018, when Kelly Hume presented a literature review to the Best Practices Committee in March 2018. Elliot Moen indicated that he compiled additional research and data to create this high-level trend review white paper. He noted that this paper includes only the National trends and does not include Colorado-specific data. Elliot mentioned that this information is being brought before the SOMB for approval to move forward with this paper or to make recommendations as to its content.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) recommended moving forward with the paper as written as it serves the intent of the original request. He noted that other related issues can be addressed as a future revision.

Board Discussion:

Amanda Gall (SOMB Member) asked what the effect is on juveniles in this process. She also noted that this paper implies that it is a comprehensive review of the national trends, but does not capture what is going here in Colorado. Amanda Gall indicated that Colorado trends show that filings are not increasing in Colorado, and mentioned that she is not completely assured of the data and research used capture what is happening in Colorado. She noted that the Colorado data does show an increase of juvenile cases moving to adult courts, and Amanda mentioned it does not explain how youth is defined, and other factors. She suggested including a disclaimer in the papers indicating that the national trends do not necessarily reflect the Colorado trends.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) acknowledged what Amanda Gall proposed regarding the inclusion of a disclaimer. He noted the need to move forward with this paper as is or to decide to not pursue this paper any further. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky suggested including a disclaimer of what this paper is not. He indicated this paper covers the overall impacts on juveniles nationally, and mentioned that there is no published research for Colorado. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated this paper is what was requested, and noted that it is a resource document. He mentioned that while this is an "action item," this will be voted on as to whether to approve this paper as is, or if a disclaimer will be included and brought back at a later time for approval.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) agreed with Amanda Gall's data which does not indicate an increase in juvenile direct file cases in Colorado, and noted her support of a disclaimer that notes that this is not what is happening in Colorado. She also mentioned that this paper does not discuss victimization by juvenile offenders and is not victim centered.

Additional Board & Audience Discussion:

Kristin Ladd (Audience Member) deferred to Jessica Meza before speaking.

Jessica Meza (Audience Member) noted that based on research and the impact on juveniles, this information will be helpful for evaluators, treatment providers, and other stakeholders. She indicated that this is a hot-button issue, and mentioned that this may require more research. Jessica Meza thanked the SOMB for the development of this information.

Tom Leversee (SOMB Member) noted that this paper should include a disclaimer that notes that this may not be a particular problem in Colorado, and to create a separate paper that includes a general overview of the Colorado data.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) clarified that the issues with this paper are whether to send this paper back to the Best Practices Committee to include more comprehensive Colorado data, or whether to leave the paper as is, and to include a disclaimer indicating that this information is not necessarily reflective of what is happening in Colorado. He noted he would support the insertion of a disclaimer, but would not support including Colorado data.

Amanda Gall (SOMB Member) moved to send the paper back to the Best Practice Committee to include a disclaimer.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) mentioned that it will be a huge undertaking to include Colorado statistics to this paper. She proposed creating another paper that addresses the impact in Colorado, and to not add a disclaimer and approve this paper as written.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) suggested including a simple disclaimer that indicates that this paper does not necessarily reflect what is happening in Colorado. Amanda Gall (SOMB Member) suggested the disclaimer include the following:

That the national data indicates an increase in direct files and that this is not the case in Colorado

Indicates that the environments researched may be different than Colorado facilities

That the definition of youth may be different (ages may vary)

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) commented that this paper recognizes the effects on youth in Colorado which indicate higher rates of suicide, higher rates of depression, and higher rates of mental health issues. She noted that the findings of this paper are consistent with what is happening with youth in Colorado, and noted that no disclaimer is needed.

Richard Bednarski left the meeting at 11:25 am

Jeff Shay left the meeting at 12:10 pm

Motion to send to the Best Practices Committee to add a Disclaimer to this paper: Amanda Gall; Allison Boyd 2nd (Question #5)

18 Approve 1 Oppose 0 Abstain Motion Passes

Adjourn: 12:26 pm

Respectfully,

Jill N.
Trowbridge

Digitally signed by Jill N.
Trowbridge
Date: 2020.07.01 07:54:01
-06'00'

Jill Trowbridge
Program Assistant
_____ Date



Marcelo Kopcow
Chairman of the SOMB
_____ Date

7-6-20

FirstName	LastName	Company	Email	Motion to Approve the April Minutes(9:32 am / 9:34am)	Motion To Ratify Section 4.0 and Admin Policies Revisions(9:56 am / 9:58 am)	Motion to Approve Variance(11:22 am / 11:25 am)
Allison	Boyd		aboym@jeffco.us	Yes	Yes	No
Amanda	Gall	CDAC	amanda@cdac.state.co	Yes	Yes	No
Angel	Weant	Judicial	angel.weant@judicial.s	Yes	Yes	Yes
Carl	Blake	Division of Youth Servic	carl.blake@state.co.us	Yes	Yes	Yes
Christina	Ortiz-Marquez	CDOC	christina.ortiz-marquez	Yes	N/A	Yes
Glenn	Knipscheer		knipscheerpolygraph@	Yes	Yes	No
Jeff	Shay	Pueblo PD	jshay@pueblo.us	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jesse	Hansen		jesse.hansen@state.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
John	Odenheimer		jwode@msn.com	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kandy	Moore	Counseling Assoociates	kandymoore@msn.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kathy	Heffron	Public Defender	kathryn.heffron@color	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kimberly	Kline	Teaching Humane Exist	kkline@about-the.org	Yes	Yes	Yes
lisa	Mayer		lcswlisa@msn.com	Yes	Yes	Abstain
Marcelo	Kopcow	Judicial	marcelo.kopcow@judic	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nicole	Leon	SAIC	nicole@denversaic.org	N/A	N/A	Abstain
Norma	Aguilar-Dave	Savio house	naguilar-dave@savioho	Yes	Yes	Yes
Richard	Bednarski	CCDB	rbednarski@shermanh	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rick	May	TES	r.may@tescolorado.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
Robin	Singer	CDE	singer_r@cde.state.co.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Steve	Moreno	Weld County	smoreno@weldgov.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
Taber Powers			taber.powers@hotmail	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tom	LEVERSEE		tleversee@q.com	Yes	Yes	Yes

FirstName	LastName	Company	Email	Motion to Approve Best Practices Committee Protocol(11:51 am / 11:52am)	Consensus Vote in support of Best Practices' Recommendation for Lifetime Supervision Criteria Hosting(11:41 am / 11:44 am)	Consensus Vote for Recommendation regarding white paper on Juveniles in the Adult System(12:25 pm / 12:27 pm)
Allison	Boyd		aboyd@jeffco.us	Yes	Yes	Yes
Amanda	Gall	CDAC	amanda@cdac.state.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
Angel	Weant	Judicial	angel.weant@judicial.s	Yes	Yes	Yes
Carl	Blake	Division of Youth Servic	carl.blake@state.co.us	Yes	Yes	Yes
Christina	Ortiz-Marquez	CDOC	christina.ortiz-marquez	Yes	Yes	Yes
Glenn	Knipscheer		knipscheerpolygraph@	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jeff	Shay	Pueblo PD	jshay@pueblo.us	Yes	Abstain	N/A
Jesse	Hansen		jesse.hansen@state.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
John	Odenheimer		jwode@msn.com	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kandy	Moore	Counseling Associates	kandymoore@msn.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kathy	Heffron	Public Defender	kathryn.heffron@color	Yes	Yes	No
Kimberly	Kline	Teaching Humane Exist	kkline@about-the.org	Yes	Yes	Yes
lisa	Mayer		lcswlisa@msn.com	Yes	Yes	Yes
Marcelo	Kopcow	Judicial	marcelo.kopcow@judic	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nicole	Leon	SAIC	nicole@denversaic.org	Yes	Yes	Yes
Norma	Aguilar-Dave	Savio house	naguilar-dave@savioh	N/A	N/A	N/A
Richard	Bednarski	CCDB	rbednarski@shermanh	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rick	May	TES	r.may@tescolorado.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
Robin	Singer	CDE	singer_r@cde.state.co.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Steve	Moreno	Weld County	smoreno@weldgov.co	Yes	Yes	Yes
Taber	Powers		taber.powers@hotmail	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tom	LEVERSEE		tleversee@q.com	Yes	Yes	Yes