

SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, May 19, 2023

**THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO
CONFERENCING**

SOMB Members

Amanda Retting
Carl Blake
Casey Ballinger
David Bourgeois
Gary Kramer
Gregg Kildow
Hannah Pilla
Ivonne Sierra
Jason Lamprecht
Jeff Baker
Jesse Hansen
Kent Vance
Katie Abeyta
Kimberly Kline
Lisa Mayer
Michelle Simmons
Mike Knotek
Nicole Feltz
Norma Aguilar-Dave
Sarah Croog
Sharon Holbrook
Taber Powers
Theresa Weiss

Guests

Abi Olson
Alison Talley
Amanda Albo
Amira Minazzi
Amy Rosengrants
Beryimar Perozo-Trejo
Roberta Ponis
Conrad Gonzales
Courtney Sutton
Crystal Kisselburgh
Deanna Killgore
Delia Garcia
Dennis Baker
Gary Reser
Geri Tien
Kristin Kubacki
Kyle Jones
Lauren Rivas
Maggie Sahlieh
Martha Lugo
Natalie Chairez
Natasha Kindred
Nathanya Ahamed
Pat Harris
Paula Vargas
Reiko Williams
Sarah Marlow
Sera Bennett
Steven Bergant
Tami Floyd

Absent SOMB Members: Jessica Dotter and Soraya Taylor

Staff Present: Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Erin Austin, Rachael Collie, Raechel Alderete, Reggin Palmitesso-Martinez, Taylor Redding, Jill Trowbridge, and Yuanting Zhang

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:07 am

This meeting was recorded.

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) introduced herself, and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself.

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the aspects of the WebEx components of the meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. She mentioned for all to state their names for clarity in the minutes.

The SOMB members in-person introduced themselves, and Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the Board members attending online.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced Jeff Baker as the new Urban County Commission. Jeff Baker introduced himself and gave a brief review of his background.

The in-person guests introduced themselves, and Erin Austin (ODVSOM Staff) introduced the online guests.

The ODVSOM Staff introduced themselves.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Board:

None

Audience:

None

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following ODVSOM Conference and training updates:

- Conference Updates:
 - The nominations for the awards presented at the conference are due on May 19th. Taylor Redding indicated she will send the JotForm for nomination submissions upon requests.
 - Early bird pricing for the Conference ends on May 31st.
- Training
 - Lunch & Learn – The SOMB Adult Standards Section 2.00 is scheduled on June 8th and will be given by Erin Austin and Dr. Hannah Pilla
 - Clinical Supervision Training (DV & SO Provider) – Is scheduled on August 25th (in-person), at the Lakewood Police Department
 - Racial and Generational Trauma Training (DV & SO Providers) – Is scheduled on September 18th (in-person), in Westminster at the Adams County Human Services Building

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) stated any individuals that have questions regarding either the Conference updates or upcoming training should reach out to her in the WebEx chat.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following:

- She announced that May is Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage month and Jewish American Heritage month.
- She announced that May is also Mental Health Awareness month.
- She reminded all that the June SOMB meeting has been cancelled due to preparations for the ODVSOM Conference in July.
- She noted that the next SOMB meeting will be held at the ODVSOM Conference on July 12th. Raechel Alderete indicated that this will be a co-joint meeting with the DVOMB members, and noted it will be an abbreviated meeting lasting 2-3 hours.
- She indicated that this meeting will be Soraya Taylor's last meeting and thanked Ms. Taylor for her service to this Board.
- Raechel Alderete indicated that the SOMB Sunset Bill (Senate Bill#23-164) passed out of the Legislature with votes of 96-3, and was unanimously approved through all steps in the Senate. After significant engagement, CDPS, CDAC, SOMB, CDOC and OSPD agreed to a package of amendments that made the following changes to the bill:
 - Creates a study with cooperation between SOMB, DOC, the parole board, and other stakeholders to study the DOC backlog and make recommendations to the SOMB and issue a report to joint judiciary committee
 - Changes a reference to a specific instrument to a reference to a generalized risk assessment in case DOC changes which one it uses.

- Corrects technical language around the term phase and track to match DOC terminology.
- Inserting a reference to clarify it is community providers in the study team.
- Establishes a study of determinate and indeterminate sentences
- Adds language that a report is to be made to SOMB by the end of the year and a report to the joint judiciary committee by Feb 2024
- Shortens the sunset to 5 years with the next sunset in 2028
- Adopts DORA recommendation to have a choice of all providers but requires the supervision agency to make referrals to assist the client in selecting an appropriate provider
- Includes a provision for clients to have a provider in their preferred language where possible
- Exempts Denver Youth Services (DYS) from providing the complete list for DHS clients to ensure that DHS does not have to transport juveniles to distant providers
- Allows a client to change a provider once within the first 90 days after sentencing or after being on parole without needing treatment team approval
- Amends the presentence investigation report statute to eliminate mandatory SOMB evaluations in cases where the current charge is not a sex offense, but allows the prosecutor or the court to request an evaluation if the defendant has a history of a prior sex offense.
- Changes the definition of sex offender so the SOMB Standards only apply to a person with a current non-sex offense and a prior sex offense, if they receive an SOMB evaluation that recommends further treatment needs. This will save resources by not using them on history cases when not needed.
- Realigns the direct file youth provision so that they are subject to the Juvenile Standards rather than the Adult Standards if sentenced before age 21.
- Corrects a statutory reference to history cases in the Diversion Statute.
- Adds language regarding equity, diversity, and inclusion issues including LGBTQ populations and cross references Title 24 Civil Rights definitions. This language addresses concerns raised in testimony before the Committee.
- Allows DOC to use qualified mental health providers who are non-SOMB providers under supervision of an SOMB provider until the next sunset in 2028.
- Determines the parole release guideline instrument and describes how the SOMB and parole will collaborate to revise the determinate parole release criteria. Creates a reporting requirement for the Parole Board to report whether its decisions are consistent or inconsistent with the parole release requirements.

Raechel Alderete noted that with this package of amendments, CDAC, CDPS, SOMB and CDOC were supportive of the bill and OSPD was officially neutral. She indicated that all amendments were passed in the Senate, and mentioned that the House only made a minor change to the fiscal note. Raechel Alderete mentioned that some of the amendments will begin in July 2023.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) clarified the amendment for DHS (Denver Youth Services) will be exempt from providing the entire list of the treatment providers for youth due to concerns with appropriate treatment matching. He noted that DHS is in support of treatment provider changes for youth when appropriate and as needed.

Board Announcements:

None

Audience Announcements:

None

APPROVAL OF APRIL MINUTES: (Attachment #1)

*Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the April Minutes as amended.
Taber Powers (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.*

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

Gary Reser (Audience Member) indicated that he attended the April SOMB meeting, and asked his attendance to be noted in the minutes.

Voting Session #533568

Motion to approve the April Minutes as amended: Carl Blake; Taber Powers 2nd (Question #1)

21	Approve	0	Oppose	2	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Norma Aguilar-Dave – voted Yes verbally
Gregg Kildow – voted Yes verbally

APPROVE AGENDA

The agenda was approved by consensus.

ASIAN PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT CENTER COLLABORATION FOR LANGUAGE SERVICES – ASIAN AMERICAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDER HERITAGE MONTH (Presentation): (No Attachment) – Reiko Williams and Geri Tien

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the presenters, Reiko Williams and Geri Tien of the Asian Pacific Development Center Collaboration for Language Services. She noted the need to remember and recognize the disparity of the number of unsuccessful discharges for this population that were mentioned at last month's SOMB meeting.

Reiko Williams and Geri Tien gave a brief background of their personal experience and the organization. Some of the highlights of this presentation are as follows:

- Asian Pacific Development Center – Working with Underserved AAPI Immigrant and all Refugee populations
- The organization is 40 years old, and is located at Colfax and Yosemite in the heart of the AAPI neighborhood
- Vision & Mission
- The needs for the AAPI community
 - Welfare assistance and resources
 - Learning and navigating through the US system
 - Address language and cultural barriers
 - Employment
 - School enrollment
 - Basic coping skills
 - Transportation
 - Parenting support
 - Mental Health support and resources – 10 therapists and 13 navigators
- APDC History
- APDC Program Services
 - Individual Therapy/Counseling
 - Psychiatric Evaluations and Medication Management
 - Family Therapy/Couple's Therapy
 - Group Therapy (Elder's Group, Wellness Group, Skills Training Group, Gardening Group, Psychoeducation Group)
 - Case Management (link clients to external resources and support during the process)
 - Community Outreach
 - Mental Health Education and prevention
 - Cultural Training
 - Contractual Consultation
 - Culturally and Language Appropriate Translation
 - Adult Education
 - APDC Youth Leadership Academy
 - Colorado Language Connection
 - Victim Assistance Program
- Victim Services Department
- What Victim Assistance Covers
- Case Management
- Client Needs
- Service Needs
- Behavioral Health Clinic
- Working with Partner Agencies and Founders
- Many Different Ethnic Groups – the needs are different, the concerns are different, the cultures are different
- List of Asian and Pacific Islander refugees/immigrants and more groups that APDC serves
- Stressed the importance of finding interpreters that know the client's dialect (if available)
- Community Garden for clients
- Informational flyers in a multitude of languages

Board Discussion:

Casey Ballinger (SOMB Member) thanked Geri Tien and Reiko Williams for the work they do and the services that they provide.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) reiterated the need for providers to know that many times the victim and the client are from the same community and noted the need for translators from those communities. Reiko Williams (Presenter) indicated the need to ensure to ask the right questions as interpreters but to note they cannot always interpret cultural differences.

Rachel Alderete (SOMB Staff) thanked Geri Tien and Reiko Williams for this presentation, the work they do, and for the services they provide. She noted that the SOMB will keep their contact information handy for when those services are needed.

Gregg Kildow (SOMB Member) asked if either Geri Tien or Reiko Williams know the number of those individuals who do not contact law enforcement when crimes occur. Reiko Williams (Presenter) responded that she does not have that information for this presentation, but indicated that many times the clients have been involved in human trafficking and are afraid to speak out. She noted that due to barriers (such as deportation) and cultural beliefs, they are reluctant to reach out to law enforcement. Reiko Williams mentioned that these individuals are also afraid of retaliation when reaching out to law enforcement. She noted that there has been an increase in reporting crime for those who have been sexually abused, and stressed the need to build relationships and connections with law enforcement.

BREAK: 10:34 – 10:58

Norma Aguilar-Dave arrived in-person to the meeting at 10:40 am

ADULT AND JUVENILE STANDARDS REVISIONS – SECTION 2.0, EVALUATION TIME FRAME (Decision Item): (Attachment #2) - Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair, Dr. Carl Blake, Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee Chair, and Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced revisions to the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revisions to Section 2.0 agenda item, noted that this has been presented to the Board previously, and she indicated the reason for including this new Standard. She noted this was created to set a timeframe (as a recommendation) for evaluations to be completed in order to help supervision teams make decisions in a timely manner regarding client treatment. Erin Austin indicated this Standard has been reviewed previously by the Board, the Adult Standards Revisions Committee, the Best Practices Committee, and also noted these revisions were sent out for public comment (with no new changes requested.)

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) noted that this change ensures that evaluations will be done in a timely manner and that this gives evaluators a process to follow when a delay in completing the evaluation happens (usually past 90 days.) He mentioned that the evaluators need to file an explanation as to why an evaluation is not completed every 30 days after the 90-day period has elapsed.

Gary Kramer (SOMB Member) indicated concern with the 90-day evaluation completion period, and noted that many cases for sentencing he presides over are happening within 60 days. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) responded that the 30 to 60-day range is more the norm for Colorado, but 90 days is not unusual. He mentioned that this protects evaluators from being grieved if there is a delay in completing the evaluation.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that if this Standard is approved, then it would be placed in the Adult Standards as Section 2.110 and in the Juvenile Standards as 2.115.

Rachel Alderete (SOMB Staff) noted that there will be a few other areas to be revised in the future, and mentioned that both the Adult and Juvenile Standards will be published soon. She also noted that this new standard was created as a result of a complaint, and noted that the complainant has been notified of this change.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revisions to Section 2.0 as presented.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #533568

Motion to approve the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revision to Section 2.0 as presented: Carl Blake; Jesse Hansen 2nd (Question #2)

23	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Gregg Kildow – voted Yes online

ADULT STANDARDS REVISION – SECTION 5.210 (Decision Item): (Attachment #3) - Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair and Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that these revisions were introduced to the Board previously, went out for public comment, and went to the Adult Standards Revisions Committee and Best Practices Committees. She indicated that the term “written” progress report was added to this Standard, and noted this is consistent with the Juvenile Standards and generally best practice. Erin Austin then reviewed the public comments for the Board, and noted that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee and the Best Practices Committees supported the language with this inclusion of “written” progress reports. She indicated that this revision is a voting item for this meeting.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

Sara Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Adult Standards Revisions to Section 5.210 as presented. Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #533568

Motion to approve the Adult Standards Revisions to Section 5.210 as presented: Sarah Croog; Theresa Weiss 2nd (Question #3)

23	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

The order of the Agenda was changed due to early timing.

REVISIONS TO THE SOMB BYLAWS (Decision Item): (Attachment #4) – Kimberly Kline, SOMB Chair and Raechel Alderete, SOMB Program Coordinator

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) indicated that this was an action item at last month’s SOMB meeting and is now a decision item. She noted that this decision item requires a 2/3 vote to approve the revisions.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) gave a brief update of the previous revisions and the process followed. She noted that revisions were made to the SOMB Bylaws sections as follows:

- Section 2.3 – Nomination and Appointment
- Section 2.4 – Onboarding
- Section 2.5 – Compensation
- Section 2.6 – Relinquishment of Appointment
- Section 4.1 – Regular Meetings
- Section 6.3 – Vote by Electronic Means
- Section 6.4 – Conflict of Interest
- Section 6.5 – Vote of the Chair
- Section 8.6 – Chair of Committees
- Section 9.1 E. – Purpose and Duties
- Section 9.2 – Membership

- Section 9.2 A.3. & 4. – Voting Board Member Appointees
- Section 9.4 – Reappointments to the Committee
- Section 9.6 – Meetings
- Section 9.7 – Placement on the Provider List
- Section 10.1 B. & D. – Purpose and Duties
- Section 10.4 – Membership
- Appendix – Definition of Terms:
 - Sex Offender Management Board
 - Quorum

Board Discussion:

Dr. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) indicated that the “conflict of interest” revisions are not included in this version, and noted that those will be addressed at a later date.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the revisions to the SOMB Bylaws as presented. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #533568

Motion to approve the revisions to the SOMB Bylaws as presented: Taber Powers; Carl Blake 2nd (Question #4)

23	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS – SECTION 3.162, APPEALS (Action Item) (Attachment #5) – Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair and Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced and reviewed the background of the proposed revisions to Section 3.162 of the Adult Standards for treatment for clients who are under an appeal as follows:

- The treatment provider shall obtain written documentation that a direct appeal or post-conviction motion has been filed. Once verified, the provider “may modify” treatment when subject to the following Standards:
 - Not discussing the offense of conviction
 - Not completing clarification of work specific to the offense of conviction
 - Note discussing Sex History questions specific to the offense of conviction
- A “Discussion Point” is included that clarifies that the treatment provider should not discharge the client or consider deniers’ interventions if the client has filed a direct appeal. It also discusses the treatment providers responsibilities when the client has not provided verification of an appeal and invokes their 5th Amendment right against self-incrimination.
- A “variance” to the SOMB is required prior to modifying treatment for the following Standards:
 - Section 3.200 – A Successful Discharge from Treatment
 - Section 5.735 – Criteria for Community Supervision Team (CST) Approval of Supervised Contact with Secondary and Non-Victim Minor Children
- Discussion Points: Treatment Providers have an ethical responsibility to be aware of the circumstances under which they are providing treatment to a client (a Use Immunity agreement is in place.)
- Discussion Point: Treatment providers have the right not to accept a referral based on the provider’s determination that he/she cannot meet the needs of the client.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated that there are a number of treatment providers who are not willing to work with clients who are under appeal, and noted that this Standard clarifies and makes it easier for treatment providers to handle those clients.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that a decision today from the Board to approve will be to move forward with the public comment process of this Standard.

Board Discussion:

- Judge Kramer (SOMB Member) reviewed a court case that has gone to the Supreme Court that may affect the Use Immunity revisions. He noted that clients have a right to a post-conviction review within 3 years. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that the Attorney General’s office has reviewed these changes, and indicated that if providers can verify that an appeal is in the works, providers can adjust the client’s treatment to accommodate that agreement. Judge Kramer noted that if the Supreme Court makes a decision granting the 3-year post-conviction review, it might affect the amount of time that clients can be under the post-conviction treatment plan. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated that if that happens, then the Adult Standards Revisions Committee will revise the Standard accordingly.
- Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that there are other sections of the Standards that give guidance to clients under appeal (i.e., the Sex History section.)
- Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that the language in Section 3.160 gives some flexibility for the treatment teams if the post-conviction 3-year review timeframe situation is encountered.
- Carl Blake (SOMB Staff) asked Taber Powers if the language in #3 is correct. He suggested including questions “specific” to the offense of conviction for clarity. He noted that sometimes the sex history questions are about behavior that are still expected to be completed in the evaluation.
- Taber Powers (SOMB Member) responded that matching the language in #2 about specificity is a good revision to #3.
- Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) indicated that after the revision to #3 that a client cannot be successfully discharged if they do not meet these criteria.
- Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) thanked the Victim Advocacy Committee’s feedback regarding these revisions, noted that further stakeholder feedback may be helpful. She indicated that the Victim Advocacy Committee has expressed concern with these revisions regarding victim impact. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) clarified that this treatment will continue to focus on the client’s felony conviction.
- Hannah Pilla (SOMB Member) reminded all that there is still benefit of treatment for individuals who are under appeal, and noted the need to identify the risk factors and to continue to address those areas.
- Gary Kramer (SOMB Member) recommended cleaning up the language in the first sentence to read: “when a client has subsequently filed a direct “*appeal*” or post-conviction “*motion*” of the sex crime conviction.” The revision was made as recommended.
- Kimberly Kline (SOMB Member) noted there is an ethical split regarding accepting clients whose conviction is under appeal.
- Carl Blake (SOMB Member) indicated that there is language throughout the Standards that state a therapist always has the right to refuse treatment for clients if they feel they cannot work with them.
- Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that the Committee continues to be mindful of all team members ethical and professional considerations.
- Casey Ballinger (SOMB Member) reiterated the need for the victim perspective to be considered when making in these revisions.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) asked if there is a motion to approve the revisions to the Adult Standards Section 3.162 as amended and to be sent out for public comment.

Audience Discussion:

None

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve Adult Standards Section 3.162 as amended for public comment review.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #533568

Motion to approve the revisions to the approved the Adult Standards Section 3.162 as amended for public comment review: Carl Blake; Jesse Hansen 2nd (Question #5)

23	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

LUNCH BREAK: 12:03 – 12:53

RESOURCE GUIDE FOR FAMILIES OF ADULTS ACCUSED, CHARGED OR CONVICTED OF SEXUAL OFFENSES IN COLORADO
(Presentation) (No Attachment) – Roberta Ponis and Dr. Chris Renda

Rachel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced Roberta Ponis and Dr. Chris Renda and thanked them for all the hard work they have done in creating this Resource Guide.

Roberta Ponis (Presenter) indicated that she collaborated with Dr. Chris Renda and many others in creating the resource guide, and noted that the following information will be included in this presentation:

- History of the Guide
- Purpose of the Guide
- Collaboration of the Guide
- Structure and Content of the Guide
- Current Efforts to Distribute the Guide

Dr. Chris Renda (Presenter) highlighted the following information:

- Creation of the Guide – She noted that work on the Guide began in 2015.
- The Guide is for family members, registered citizens, sexual abuser advocates, victim advocates, therapists, probation and parole officers, wardens, parole board members, members of the Public Defenders’ office, and SOMB members.
- She noted that questions and concerns received were considered by all stakeholders.
- She acknowledged Laurie Kepros, Angel Weant, Jeff Geist, Phil Morris, Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Erin Austin, and family members who worked so diligently on this project.
- Dr. Renda indicated that hours were spent drafting the proper language in a collaborative way.
- The Guide will provide accurate information in all areas of the judicial process due to input from all stakeholders.
- The Guide was intentionally kept in easy to understand language.
- Structure of the Guide:
 - PART 1 – Supporting your Loved One from Arrest to Sentencing
 - Chapter 1: The Arrest
 - Chapter 2: Jail and Bail Bond
 - Chapter 3: Moving through the Court System
 - Chapter 4: Evaluation, Child Contact Considerations, and Sentencing
 - PART 2 – Serving the Sentence
 - Chapter 5: The Role of Treatment
 - Chapter 6: Probation
 - Chapter 7: Jail
 - Chapter 8: Community Corrections (COMCOR)
 - Chapter 9: Prison under the Colorado Department of Corrections
 - Chapter 10: Parole under the Colorado Department of Corrections
 - Chapter 11: Sex Offender Registry and Post-Sentence Considerations
 - PART 3 – Agency Information
 - Section 1: Office of the State Public Defender
 - Section 2: Colorado Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB)
 - Section 3: What is Treatment (A Therapist’s Perspective)
 - Section 4: What Happens when Police respond to Sexual Assault
 - Section 5: What is an Evaluation
 - Section 6: Probation Supervision
 - Section 7: Community Corrections
 - Section 8: Sex Offender Treatment & Monitoring Program (SOTMP)
 - Section 9: The Colorado Parole Board
 - Section 10: Parole
 - Section 11: Sex Offender Registration Requirements
 - APPENDICES

Appendix A – Definitions of Various Terms
Appendix B – Dependency and Neglect Information

Bobbi Ponis (Presenter) then explained how the Guide will be distributed to those who need it. She noted that some of the ways to do this will be as follows:

- Flyer with a QR Code – the hope is to distribute and post a flyer at every point in the process
 - Phase 1: Jail lobbies, Public Defenders, Criminal Defense Attorneys, Courts, Probation, Prison and Parole, public libraries, SOMB website, and AFC website
 - Phase 2: Colorado Public Radio, news or media, organizational websites (i.e., NARSOL, AFC)

Bobbi Ponis (Presenter) noted that this guide is specifically for those accused, charged, or convicted of sexual offenses, but could also be helpful for families of those convicted of most crimes within Colorado. She asked for any and all suggestions of ways to distribute this flyer. Bobbi Ponis indicated that a copy of the Guide can be purchased for \$25, or it can be downloaded using the QR code for free.

Dr. Renda (Presenter) indicated that the QR code can be customized for any organization, and indicated that business cards with the QR code are available for distribution.

Board Discussion:

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) indicated that this is very well written, and noted that the earlier a family understands the treatment process, the better outcomes for the clients.

Tanya Ahamed (Audience Member) from RSA, Inc. is very supportive of this guide, and indicate she will hand out the flyer and QR code for the guide to clients and families.

An on-line audience member asked if a document like this can be adopted for victims and families of victims. Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that the Victim Advocacy Committee is working on a document like the Family Resource Guide specific to the needs of the victims and their families.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) suggested having flyers with a box for business cards. He also suggested having a business card with the QR code with less descriptive information on it when there are situations where too much information may raise questions. Carl Blake indicated that this is a great guide, with good collaboration and a good tone. He asked how the Committee plans to update the guide. Bobbi Ponis and Dr. Chris Renda responded that this will be updated as time allows, and indicated they will send the document to Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky and the AFC website. Dr. Renda noted that in the future, the workgroup could come back together to make any revisions. She suggested maybe having Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky and Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) collect the items/questions that need revisions, and then forward those to Dr. Renda and Roberta Ponis for updating.

Dr. Renda (Presenter) noted that another cover page could be the first page of the document that says “Resource Guide” on it with the QR code that keeps it more confidential in nature. She noted that they are looking for suggestions as to how to get this guide out to those who need it.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) noted the need to highlight this in the next SOMB newsletter, and to provide some information at the ODVSOM Conference. Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) indicated that Roberta Ponis and Dr. Renda will have a vendor table at the conference

Roberta Ponis (Presenter) noted that she reached out to RSA, Inc. for distribution, and indicated they would like to reach out to more providers.

Judge Kramer (SOMB Member) indicated the high accuracy of the guide, and noted that Roberta Ponis and Dr. Renda should receive credit for this document. Roberta Ponis responded that they have decided not to be named in this Guide out of respect.

Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) indicated that Probation supervisors are working on getting this distributed throughout the State Probation offices for families and clients.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (ODVSOM Program Manager) expressed the importance of keeping in mind that the quest of this guide was to solve the lack of information for families of those who have committed sexual offenses. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky gave Roberta Ponis and Dr. Renda recognition for the outstanding work on this, and their diligence in creating this much needed guide. Casey Ballinger (SOMB Member) expressed appreciation of this guide and expressed hope that a guide like this will be created for survivors and their families.

BOARD MEETING ADJOURNS: 1:58 pm

Respectfully,

Jill N. Trowbridge

Digitally signed by Jill N.
Trowbridge
Date: 2023.08.31 08:18:40 -06'00'

Jill Trowbridge
Program Assistant

Date



Kimberly Kline
Chair of the SOMB

8/18/23

Date

Last Name	First Name	Q1 Motion to Approve the April Minutes as Amended	Q2 Motion to Approve the Adult & Juvenile Standards Revisions as Presented	Q3 Motion to Approve the Adult Standards Revisions to Section 5.210 as Presented	Q4 Motion to Approve the Revisions to the Bylaws as Presented	Q5 Motion to Approve the Adult Standards Section 3.162 as Amended for Public Comment
Abeyta	Katie	1	1	1	1	1
Aguilar-Dave	Norma	1 - Verbally	1	1	1	1
Baker	Jeff	3	1	1	1	1
Ballinger	Casey	1	1	1	1	1
Blake	Carl	1	1	1	1	1
Bourgeois	David	1	1	1	1	1
Croog	Sarah	1	1	1	1	1
Feltz	Nicole	1	1	1	1	1
Hansen	Jesse	1	1	1	1	1
Holbrook	Sharon	1	1	1	1	1
Kline	Kim	1	1	1	1	1
Kildow	Gregg	1 - Verbally	1 - Verbally	1	1	1
Kramer	Gary	1	1	1	1	1
Pilla	Hannah	1	1	1	1	1
Mayer	Lisa	1	1	1	1	1
Lamprecht	Jason	1	1	1	1	1
Retting	Amanda	1	1	1	1	1
Powers	Taber	1	1	1	1	1
Sierra	Ivonne	1	1	1	1	1
Simmons	Michelle	1	1	1	1	1
Weiss	Theresa	1	1	1	1	1
Holbrook	Sharon	1	1	1	1	1
Vance	Kent	3	1	1	1	1
		1 - Yes	23 - Yes	23 - Yes	23 - Yes	23 - Yes
		0 - No	0 - No	0 - No	0 - No	0 - No
		2 - Abstain	0 - Abstain	0 - Abstain	0 - Abstain	0 - Abstain

Answer Key:

1 = Yes

2 = No

3 = Abstain

Norma Aguilar-Dave arrived to the meeting in-person at 10:40 am