

**SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB)
MINUTES**

Friday, March 17, 2023

**THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO
CONFERENCING**

SOMB Members

Amanda Retting
Carl Blake
Casey Ballinger
David Bourgeois
Gary Kramer
Gregg Kildow
Hannah Pilla
Jesse Hansen
Jessica Dotter
Katie Abeyta
Kimberly Kline
Lisa Mayer
Michelle Simmons
Mike Knotek
Norma Aguilar-Dave
Sarah Croog
Sharon Holbrook
Soraya Taylor
Taber Powers
Theresa Weiss

Guests

Abi Olson
Alison Talley
Amira Minazzi
Brenda Kay
Courtney Juelfs
Courtney Sutton
Delia Garcia
Fred Kraemerer
Gabriel Garcia
Judie Kunze
Katie Mancinelli
LaKisha Parker
Lauren Rivas
Libby Stuyt
Margaret Ochoa
Marni Lyons
Marsha Brewer
Martha Lugo
Philippe Marquis
Sara Gatewood
Sarah Marlow
Tami Floyd

Absent SOMB Members: Jason Lamprecht, Ivonne Sierra, Kent Vance, and Nicole Feltz

Staff Present: Erin Austin, Rachael Collie, Raechel Alderete, Reggin Palmitesso-Martinez, Taylor Redding, Jill Trowbridge, and Yuanting Zhang

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:04 am

This meeting was recorded.

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) introduced herself, and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public. Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the aspects of the WebEx components of the meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. She mentioned for all to speak clearly into the microphones.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself, and introduced Soraya Taylor, the new County Director of Human Services Representative on the Board. Soraya Taylor (SOMB Member) gave a brief introduction of herself and her past experience.

The SOMB members in-person introduced themselves.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the Board members attending online.

The ODVSOM Staff introduced themselves.

The in-person guests introduced themselves.

Erin Austin (ODVSOM Staff) introduced the online guests.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Board:

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) asked for a future presentation to the SOMB from the Family Engagement Committee regarding the completed Resource Guide for Families of Adults Accused, Charged or Convicted of Sexual Offenses in Colorado.

Audience:

Tara Saulibio (Audience Member) requested future discussion regarding providing victims with a list of sex offense-specific treatment agencies once their offender has left the Department of Corrections. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) clarified that this request is to make it easier for victims to reach the agencies involved. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) noted that this request will be given to the Executive Committee for future discussion.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following ODVSOM Conference and training updates:

- Training
 - VASOR/SOTIPS – Scheduled on April 5th & 6th in Grand Junction
 - VASOR/SOTIPS – She noted that this training will be scheduled in the Denver metro area in the near future.
 - Lunch and Learn Training – Scheduled on April 13th with Dr. Yuanting Zhang and Dr. Rachael Collie regarding Data Collection and Success in Treatment
 - Policy Booster Training – Scheduled on May 11th
 - Training - Making Research Accessible and Applicable for Practitioners for Domestic Violence (DV) and Sex Offender (SO) providers scheduled on May 18th given by Dr. Yuanting Zhang and Dr. Rachael Collie (SOMB Researchers), Taber Powers (SOMB Member), and Linda Harrison (Division of Criminal Justice/Office of Research & Statistics)
 - Racial and Generational Trauma training – Scheduled in September for DV/SO providers with more information forthcoming
- Conference Updates:
 - Pre-Conference day is scheduled for Tuesday, July 11th and noted the following session choices available:
 - Track 1:**
 - Providing Individualized Treatment and Supervision to Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses
 - Using Attachment Theory to Increase Engagement and Promote Long-Term Cessation of Violence
 - Psychosexual Evaluations: Best Practices and Common Issues
 - Assessment & Treatment of Adult Females Convicted of Sexual Crimes
 - Creating Safe Spaces: How to Start Hard Conversations with Empathy and Authenticity
 - Track 2:**
 - Applying a Neuroscience/Psychosocial Development Framework to Testifying in Juvenile/Emerging Adult
 - Managing Mandated Clients-Fostering Alliance and Prosocial Behavior Change

- The Pornography Issue
- Risk Assessment: History, Development & Current Practices
- Taylor Redding indicated that the Conference registration will open in mid-April.

Erin Austin (ODVSOM Staff):

- Provider Data Management System (PDMS) online applications: Erin Austin indicated that paper applications will no longer be accepted and that all renewals and new applications are to be entered in the PDMS online application system. She indicated that all new providers request an account through a Jot Form for the PDMS. Erin Austin then reviews the PDMS login process for the PDMS. She indicated that it is best to have all documentation together when applying or submitting the application online, as the application cannot be submitted until all documentation has been supplied. Erin Austin indicated that the PDMS system will hold a partial application for only a short time period.
- Adult Standards Revisions – Erin Austin noted that the SOMB Adult Standards Revisions Committee is consulting with the Attorney General’s office due to the current Court of Appeals cases involving the 5th Amendment right of clients whose case is under appeal. She asked stakeholders to attend the Adult Standards Revisions Committee meetings if they have feedback regarding clients whose cases are under appeal. Erin Austin noted that this Committee meets on the 3rd Thursday of each month from 9:00 am – 11:00 am.
- She noted that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee will next review research for those clients who are in denial.

Norma Aguilar-Dave called into the meeting at 9:21 am

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff):

- She noted that the Sunset Hearing with the Legislative Judiciary Committee is scheduled for March 22nd at 1:30 pm, and asked all to let her know if anyone would like to testify at this hearing.
- She announced that the June 16th SOMB meeting will be “CANCELLED” due to staff preparations for the ODVSOM Conference which is scheduled for July 11th – July 14th in Breckenridge, Colorado.
- She indicated that Roberta Ponis asked that the Family Resource Guide flyer be shared with the SOMB members, this flyer has been included in the Board packets. Raechel Alderete indicated the Executive Committee will discuss and determine a future presentation of the finished Family Resource Guide with the SOMB.
- She announced that all SOMB members should complete the annual Conflict of Interest Disclosure form and report those who have a financial disclosure

Dr. Rachael Collie (SOMB Analyst) announced that a survey from the Victim Advocacy Committee has been sent regarding victim clarification experiences, concerns, suggestions, and the use of the victim representative. She asked for providers to respond to this survey.

Board Announcements:

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) publicly disclosed that he is 50% owner of Durango Counseling, and disclosed that his wife is also a 50% co-owner. He indicated that they provide sexual offense-specific services to Judicial and the Department of Corrections.

Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) publicly disclosed that she is the owner of Community Safety First and indicated she contracts with Judicial and several departments of Human Services.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that March is Social Work Month and recognized all those involved in that field.

Audience Announcements:

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) announced that March 5th was the 20th anniversary of the US Supreme Court case of Smith vs. Doe regarding sex offender registration.

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) announced the 60th anniversary of the US Supreme Court case of Gideon vs. Wainwright regarding indigent individuals the right to public defense. She gave some statistics regarding the active cases in Colorado and the United States.

APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY MINUTES: (Attachment #1)

*Taber Powers (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the February Minutes as presented.
Carl Blake (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.*

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #817383

Motion to approve the February Minutes as presented: Taber Powers; Carl Blake 2nd (Question #1)

14	Approve	0	Oppose	4	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Norma Aguilar-Dave abstained via email

APPROVE AGENDA

The agenda was approved by consensus.
The order of the agenda was revised due to the early timing.

BREAK: 9:38 – 9:44 (to fix audio problems)

TRAVELING BOARD MEETING & STRATEGIC PLANNING MEETING (Discussion): (No Attachment) Taylor Redding, SOMB Training and Special Projects Coordinator

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) reviewed that the intention is to schedule a traveling Board meeting in October 2023 or Spring of 2024, and noted that the current meeting requests received are from Canon City or Colorado Springs from a JotForm that was sent previously. She asked for possible Board meeting dates from the SOMB members. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) indicated that the traveling board meetings give communities outside of the Denver metro meeting an opportunity to engage with the Board in person. Taylor Redding asked that all SOMB members attend this meeting, and asked what the Board members expect to be discussed at this meeting. She indicated to keep in mind that the Domestic Violence traveling board meeting is scheduled for September 2024, and noted their strategic planning meeting is scheduled for the Spring of 2024.

Staff/Board Discussion:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) discussed the need to know where the meeting will be before picking a date due to travel considerations. He indicated that this meeting is part business and part connecting with the community, and noted this format has worked well in the past. Carl Blake suggested the possibility of touring local agencies, facilities, etc.

Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) noted that Canon City has requested a Board meeting, and noted that travel to and from Canon City is easier than other parts of the State. Hannah Pilla noted that there is some construction on the way to Canon City and she suggested having the meeting in Pueblo.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) suggested having the Strategic Planning retreat before the traveling board meeting in order for the new board members to have a chance to get to know each other better. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) expressed concern if the staff and Board would be ready for the strategic planning in October, but is in support of Jesse's suggestions.

Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) indicated that she will send an email with more questions and suggestions the week of March 20th. She noted that the main goal of the Strategic Planning Retreat is for Board members to get to know one another better. She indicated that the plan can also incorporate a professional facilitator to help facilitate the strategic planning.

Raechel Alderete indicated that the purpose of the traveling board meeting is to engage the community, and to focus on community implementation. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) expressed the need to know how best to include Board members in implementation and training to all stakeholder groups.

Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) indicated that she will send a follow-up email the week of March 20th. She reiterated that the expectation is that each board member attend both of these meetings in person if possible.

NEGATIVE IMPACTS OF HIGH POTENCY THC ON COMMUNITY AND VICTIM SAFETY: THIS IS NOT MEDICINE

(Presentation): (No Attachment) (1-hour Training Credit for Attendance) - Dr. Libby Stuyt

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced Dr. Libby Stuyt as the presenter, and read her biography.

Dr. Libby Stuyt (Presenter) gave a brief description of herself and her past experience. She then presented the following data, research and information regarding the negative impacts of high potency THC:

- Objectives
- Cannabis – Cannabinergic System
- Endocannabinoid Receptors
- Commercialization has radically changed marijuana
- Massive increase in the THC potency in Colorado
- “Medical Marijuana” the Trojan Horse
- My experience with CUD in a 90-day inpatient co-occurring treatment program in Colorado
- Research supporting the use of smoked cannabis for medical conditions is limited to less than 10% THC
- Most products available in Colorado medical and recreational dispensaries contain greater than 15% THC
- Comparing smoked cannabis with pharmaceutical grade cannabinoids
- Cannabis Legalization: Progress in harm reduction approaches for substance use and misuse
- Kids and adults think it’s safe because it is aggressively marketed and sold as medicine
- Psychological/Behavioral issues
- Cognitive issues worsened by early cannabis use, when the brain is still developing (up to age 25)
- Receptor binding in brain tissue
- IQ and brain development studies
- Higher drug potency = More potential for addiction
- THC potencies above 15% should be considered a hard drug
- Is high potency THC the new tobacco?
- Marijuana Withdrawal Syndrome
- Progression of cannabis withdrawal symptoms in people using medical cannabis for chronic pain
- Effect of medical marijuana card ownership on pain, insomnia, and affective disorder symptoms in adults: A randomized clinical trial
- High potency THC linked with a tripled risk for psychosis
- Multicenter case-control study across ten European and one Brazilian site replicates, the strong effect of daily use of high potency cannabis on the odds for psychotic disorder
- Increased potency in the past 2 decades has resulted in a 4-fold increase in cannabis use and Schizophrenia
- Rates and predictors of conversion to Schizophrenia or Bipolar Disorder following substance induced Psychosis
- Rates and correlates of cannabis associated Psychotic symptoms in over 230,000 people who use cannabis
- Suicide and adolescence cannabis use
- THC is the number one drug found in 69 teens, age 15-19 who died by suicide in Colorado in 2018
- Significant increase in teens 15-19 suicides in past 5 years correlating with increased THC potency
- US trends in the association of suicide ideation/behaviors with marijuana use among adolescents ages 12-17 and differences by gender and race/ethnicity
- Cannabis Use Disorder is associated with suicide attempts among veterans
- Marijuana is NOT the answer for PTSD
- Cannabis and False Memories
- RCT of cannabis for PTSD failed to show any benefit over placebo
- Short and long-term effects of cannabis on symptoms of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
- Frequent cannabis use worsens PTSD symptoms in Veterans
- Persistency of cannabis use predicts violence following acute psychiatric discharge
- Cannabis use is a significant risk factor for violent behavior in early phase psychosis
- Persistent cannabis use as an independent risk factor for violent behaviors in patients with Schizophrenia
- Cannabis Use Disorder, anger, and violence in Iraq/Afghanistan-era veterans
- Association between the use of cannabis and physical violence in youths: A meta-analytical investigation
- Partner Violence in a nationally representative longitudinal sample

- Key information
- Marijuana use is associated with intimate Partner violence perpetration among men arrested for Domestic Violence
 - Key information
- Association of cannabis use with intimate partner violence among couples with substance misuse
- A review of cases of marijuana and violence
- Arizona Child Fatality Review Program 2022
- Violence and cannabis use: A focused review of a forgotten aspect in the era of liberalizing cannabis
- Hypothesized mechanisms for both acute and chronic cannabis intoxication causing violence
- Does cannabis use affect competency? Or restoration to competency?
- Cannabinoid Hyperemesis Syndrome
- Changes in emergency department encounters for vomiting after cannabis legalization in Colorado
- Marijuana has not decreased opioid overdose deaths in Colorado
- Cannabis is NOT the solution to the opioid epidemic
- Cannabis increases the risk of suicidal ideation in those with opioid use disorder
- What can we do about this?
 - Educate
 - Increase treatment availability, including in jails/prisons
 - PSA's about the risks
 - Enact Legislation for stricter regulations
 - Collect data on cannabis use
 - Do drug screens
- What Colorado HB21-1317 accomplished
- House Bill 16-1359 – Concerning the use of medical marijuana while on probation
- We need better data
- Sample Survey Questions
 - Do you have a Red Card (approval to use Medical Marijuana)?
 - If yes, when did you get it (month/year)?
 - Date of first Red Card (month/year)
 - If yes, what medical cannabis products do you use?
 - Edibles
 - Flower/Bud
 - Shake
 - Was
 - Shatter
 - Dab
 - Hash Oil
 - If yes, do you use THC potency higher than 10?
 - No
 - Yes
 - Unknown
- Recommendations:
 - Establish criteria for treatment providers working with those court ordered to treatment.
 - Require therapists to have all clients who plan to get red cards or have red cards when they first see the therapist assigned to them, sign releases of information for both the physician who has recommended the red card and for the treating physician.
 - This allows a full transparency of the justifiable need for medical marijuana and what type is being recommended.
 - If the treating physician has reasons why it should not be used, this should be brought to the attention of the court.
- Questions

Board Discussion:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) commented on the statistics coming out of El Paso County, which has the highest suicide rates and ASIS scores in the nation, and the increased rate of endorsing suicidal ideation for individuals with Cannabis Use Disorder. He noted the need to conduct studies in El Paso county regarding the high number of suicides, and the high use of cannabis in youth, and higher rates of trauma. Carl Blake noted that Appendix H of the SOMB Standards gives guidance for treatment providers regarding medical marijuana use. He also indicated that there is guidance in the Ethical Codes of Conduct that states an individual under the influence of marijuana cannot consent to have treatment. Carl Blake indicated that the treatment providers have the capacity to deny treatment to individuals based on their cannabis use.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) read a comment from the chat that indicated that clients who are not under the influence of marijuana are more engaged in treatment, are more active in socialization, and are more motivated. Dr. Libby Stuyt (Presenter) noted that when a client is under the influence of marijuana, then the treatment providers are unable to work with their trauma issues.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that Taylor Redding put Dr. Libby Stuyt's contact information in the chat.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) thanked Dr. Libby Stuyt's for her presentation.

Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) reminded all that the training certificate and presentation slides will be sent out the week of March 20th.

Audience Discussion:

None

JUVENILE STANDARDS REVISIONS (Decision Item): (Attachment #2) Dr. Carl Blake, Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee Chair, and Raechel Alderete, SOMB Program Coordinator

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the Juvenile Standards revisions item, noted that this will be a decision item after receiving public comment, and noted the Committee made the appropriate revisions based on those comments. She then introduced Dr. Carl Blake (Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee Chair.)

Dr. Carl Blake (Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee Chair) reviewed the most recent revisions to the Juvenile Standards as follows:

- Introduction: Revised language to clarify the purview of the SOMB
- Guiding Principle #14:
 - Added language to make the Guiding Principles more inclusive regarding Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI)
- Section 2.100 G.:
 - Added language to clarify when an evaluator is using research when providing a recommendation to the court for or against a client being on the registry. A Discussion Point was added to direct evaluators to review the SOMB White Paper and the ATSA position paper regarding Registration and Community Notification for Adolescents Adjudicated of a Sexual Crime.
- Section 2.200:
 - Clarified that recommendations regarding intervention should be based on information within the body of the evaluation. A Discussion Point was added that clarifies that there may be incompatibility with the SOMB Standards and the Family First Prevention Services Act, and gives guidance to the evaluators in these circumstances.
- Section 3.000:
 - Added language for treatment providers which is more EDI sensitive
- Section 3.130 #7:
 - Added discussion points which indicate that Juveniles have the right against self-incrimination, that Juveniles who are appealing the sex crime adjudication can still benefit from sex-offense specific treatment, and noted that taking accountability in their behavior is a key factor in treatment even when a juvenile cannot utter those words.
- Section 3.130 #21:
 - Included personal beliefs and cultural identity language
- Section 3.140 E.:
 - Revised language to - Clarification sessions "and clarification work" shall occur as prescribed in Section 9.000 of these Standards.
- Section 3.151 B.:
 - Added cross-reference to Section 9.0000
- Section 3.420 C.:
 - Added language that clarifies that a treatment provider is using research when providing a recommendation to the court for or against a client being on the registry. A Discussion Point was added to direct evaluators to review the SOMB White Paper and the ATSA position paper regarding Registration and Community Notification for Adolescents Adjudicated of a Sexual Crime.
- Section 3.200: Confidentiality:
 - Added language that requires a Release of Information (ROI) to enter data into the Provider Data Management System (PDMS.) Added language regarding the parameters of the Treatment ROI, the Substance Use Disorder Treatment ROI, and the Research ROI. Added language that indicates that releases of information are voluntary.
- Section 3.130 5.:

- Added language that clarifies the cultural norms and how that relates to current laws.
- Section 3.151:
 - Added "A copy of the treatment plan shall be offered to the juvenile and provided upon request."
- Section 3.141 C.:
 - Added a Discussion Point regarding discharge from a current level of treatment and that an alternate level of treatment, including sex-offense specific treatment may be beneficial.
- Section 3.420:
 - Added language indicating that discharge summaries are to be provided to all MDT members, including the juvenile.
- Section 5.140 G.:
 - Added language regarding contact between the victim and the juvenile if a court order is in place. Added a Discussion Point regarding guidance when there are inconsistencies between the Family First Prevention Services Act and the Standards.
- Section 5.700:
 - Added language for clarification of the Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) roles regarding multiple victim representatives on the team.
- Section 9.110 C.:
 - Added language for the clarification of procedures when a victim clarification letter is requested by the victim. A Discussion Point was added that clarifies that the MDT should resolve any juvenile concerns while still meeting the needs of the victim.
- Section 9.310:
 - Added a Discussion Point giving guidance when inconsistencies between the Family First Prevention Services Act and the Standards occur regarding returning the juvenile to home.
- Section 9.320:
 - Added a Discussion Point regarding reunification guidance when inconsistencies occur between the Family First Prevention Services Act and the Standards.

Board Discussion:

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) asked about the timeframe of when a victim clarification letter can be requested. He asked if there is some way to limit the timeframe. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) noted there are various ways the letter can be kept, and he responded that a release of information will have to be obtained from the client after they have been terminated from treatment, if the record still exists. He mentioned there are limitations as to how long after termination from treatment that a client's records are to be kept.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) asked if language could be added to Section 9.110 to suggest that a valid release of information can extend past the discharge date in order to protect the treatment provider. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that the Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee did not agree to have a separate release of information for these letters. He noted that a client should already know that this is an area covered under the "waiver of confidentiality" which would cover victim clarification letters. Carl Blake indicated that the letter is written with the expectation of it being given to the victim, and mentioned that the problem is that the files are destroyed at a set time after discharge, which would include this letter. He noted that a release of information could be used on a case-by-case instance.

Casey Ballinger (SOMB Member) indicated that victims are being informed that the letter may not be available when they are ready to receive it. She discussed the possibility of creating a Clarification Letter bank that the State would manage for those clients who have been discharged from treatment or supervision.

Norma Aguilar-Dave (SOMB Member) thanked the Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee for their hard work on these revisions, and reiterated the need for a clarification letter bank.

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) expressed concern as a defense attorney that in Section 9.110 C. that the statement "shall be provided in a manner that best meets the needs of the victim" puts too much liability on the treatment provider regarding keeping the clarification letter. She indicated that it will be in conflict with other Standards, and she suggested changing it to "should". Carl Blake (SOMB Member) disagreed that the "shall" is not putting undue liability on the treatment provider, and noted that this language should remain. He noted there are other avenues to consider regarding keeping the clarification letter.

Norma Aguilar-Dave (SOMB Member) noted the need to keep the "shall" in the language, and suggested adding language to keep the letter for future considerations. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that there is already language in the Standards that direct the providers to keep client records in accordance with the DORA regulations, and noted that Statute and DORA regulations supersede the

Standards. Norma Aguilar-Dave suggested restating the Statute in the Standards that mandates the treatment providers keep client records for a determined number of years. Carl Blake indicated that a cross reference in the Standard that clarifies the Statute could be added.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted the need to keep the Standards simple for all to be accessible and readily understood. She expressed agreement with the cross-reference to the Statute that Carl Blake suggested.

Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) asked what happens when an MDT is unable to decide regarding the retention of the clarification letter, and noted that the "shall" puts an undue onus on the treatment providers. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) referred Theresa Weiss to Section 5.000 which addresses when a team cannot come to a resolution and who has the authority to make the final decision. He noted that these concerns are the "minority" of cases, and he mentioned that this should not create a barrier for the majority of cases.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that the Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee is looking for a motion to move forward with the approval of the suggested changes.

Judge Gary Kramer (SOMB Member) suggested removing the 9.320 cross-reference. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that the 9.320 cross-reference is needed, and noted to change it to 9.320 A.- C. 1.-3.

Jessica Dotter arrived at 11:30 am

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) expressed her confusion that this vote is for the Juvenile Standards revisions that were discussed at the January meeting. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) responded that this was reviewed at the beginning of this agenda item, and noted that all revisions and public comment have been vetted through the various committees.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) noted her concerns with the discussion point in Section 3.130 regarding the client taking accountability without actually speaking the words, but is continuing in treatment. She asked if Section 3.130 can be voted on separately. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that this discussion point has been vetted multiple times through various committees, and included District Attorney's (DAs.) He noted that the entire section was reviewed in the Committee by various DA's and public comment, and indicated he is not in support splitting out this section for a vote. Carl Blake noted that according to Roberts Rules of Orders that the Committee already has an automatic motion to come before the Board for a vote.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) appreciated the feedback and discussion, and indicated that voting on each particular section will be cumbersome to track and will be difficult to implement.

Norma Aguilar-Dave (SOMB Member) asked if a motion to approve is needed now. Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) responded yes.

Audience Discussion:

None

Norma Aguilar-Dave (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Juvenile Standards Revisions as amended. Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Voting Session #817383

Motion to approve the Juvenile Standards Revisions as amended: Norma Aguilar-Dave; Sarah Croog 2nd (Question #2)

16	Approve	0	Oppose	2	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

BREAK: 12:15 – 12:45 pm

The agenda order was changed.

SOMB BYLAWS REVISIONS (Action Item) (Attachment #5) – Kimberly Kline, SOMB Chair and Raechel Alderete, SOMB Program Coordinator

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) reviewed the recent revisions to the SOMB Bylaws and indicated that the Board will have 30 days to review these. She indicated that these revisions will be brought back at the next meeting for a vote which will require a 2/3 vote to approve.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) reviewed the following Articles of the Bylaws:

- Article 2.3 – Nomination and Appointment
- Article 2.4 – Onboarding
- Article 2.5 – Compensation – changed number
- Article 2.6 – Relinquishment of Appointment – changed number
- Article 4.1 – Regular Meetings – change from quarterly meetings to bi-monthly
- Article 6.1 – Vote by Electronic Means
- Article 7.1 – Conflict of Interest
- Article 8.6 – Chair of Committees
- Article 9.1 E. – ARC Purpose and Duties
- Article 9.2 A. & C. – ARC Membership
- Article 9.7 – Placement on the Provider List
- Article 10.1 B. – Best Practices Committee Purpose and Duties
- Article 10.4 – Best Practices Membership

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) indicated that any stakeholder questions regarding the Bylaws should be directed to the SOMB Staff.

Board Discussion:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) clarified when a member of the SOMB leaves their State Agency, then they could no longer serve on the Board according to Statute. He noted that if a member changes positions within their State Agency, then they could possibly still be a member on the SOMB.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) indicated that in Article 2.600, Relinquishment of Appointment, that the language should defer to the Statute when an individual changes agency, position within an agency, or possibly altogether. Carl Blake responded that the language changes fall in line with the Statute, and indicated that the term “appointment” includes only those employed by that agency. Jesse Hansen asked if this language refers to the Victim Representative or Law Enforcement Representatives, and asked for further clarification of the language. Carl Blake responded that the representative must meet the criteria for Board membership for that agency and by professional expertise. Jesse Hansen suggested adding “discretion may be applied in the event that someone still maintains the area of expertise but no longer holds the position in their agency.” Carl Blake indicated that this language is not necessary as it is covered in other areas of the Bylaws. He noted that this section is for those who are appointed according to Statute mandates by a State agency and not those who represent a Non-Government agency position. Carl Blake also noted that those positions will eventually end due to term limits. Jesse Hansen conceded to Carl Blake’s explanation.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) suggested not making any further changes to Section 2.600 at this time, and to give this information to the Executive Committee to include “professional expertise” at a later time.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) clarified that this discussion is for those leaving their work position, but still allowing them to complete their term based on their recognized professional expertise. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that there is still criteria for each individual on the Board, but noted that if they leave their profession and don’t meet the criteria, then they must give up their representation on the Board. Carl Blake suggested moving forward with this revision as is, and have further discussion with the Executive Committee to tease out this area of concern.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that this will move ahead with the 30-day period, and indicated that the Executive Committee will continue to discuss this further.

Audience Discussion:

None

Kimberly Kline left the meeting at 1:23 pm.

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Vice-Chair) chaired the meeting in her absence.

ANNUAL LEGISLATIVE REPORT (Presentation): (No Attachment) – Dr. Rachael Collie, PhD., ODVSOM Staff Researcher

Dr. Rachael Collie (ODVSOM Staff) reviewed the various sections of the Annual Legislative Report as follows:

- Section 1: Research & Evidence Based Practices (EBP)
- Section 2: Relevant Policy Issues and Recommendations
- Section 3: Milestones and Achievements
- Section 4: Future Goals and Direction
- References
- Appendices

Board Discussion:

Rachel Alderete (SOMB Staff) thanked Dr. Rachael Collie and the Board, and commented on the quality of the Legislative Report. She noted that when the Legislature had a number of questions that those were easily answered in the report.

Audience Discussion:

None

3 YEAR REVIEW – DATA COLLECTION (Presentation): (No Attachment) – Dr. Yuanting Zhang, PhD., ODVSOM Staff Researcher

Dr. Yuanting Zhang (ODVSOM Researcher) presented the SOMB 3-year Data review as follows:

- Overall Analysis Goals
- Total Entries – Year 3
- Clients by Courts (Adult Court/Juvenile Court)
- Evaluation Results
 - Evaluation Risk Level by Court – Year 1, Year 2, and Year 3
- Treatment Results of
 - Overall Risk Level at Beginning & End Treatment
 - Overall Risk Level by Court
 - Level of Denial at Beginning & End of Treatment
 - Discharge Outcomes
- Polygraph Results
 - Polygraph Exam Results by Court Type
 - Polygraph Exam Results by Exam Type at Year 1, Year 2, and Year 3
- Summary of Findings
- Treatment Outcomes & Future Recidivism Analysis
- Database Updates

Michelle Simmons left the meeting at 2:15 pm

Board Discussion:

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) questioned the large swing in the numbers between year 2 and year 3 for the Denial low and moderate categories. Dr. Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) responded that she will check to verify the numbers on that chart.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked if Dr. Zhang can identify what percentage of those individuals who started at one level and then ended at another level. He asked if the high risk stay high risk, and if the moderate and low risk stay in the same levels. Carl Blake asked that more information regarding the denial number could include those individuals who change risk levels, and indicated that this information could determine if changes in the Standards should be made. Dr. Yuanting Zhang responded that this presentation captures broad information (not by individual), and indicated that she can look at regression statistics. She noted that she will share that data when it is available.

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) asked if the data could identify the treatment timing for those in denial, and if or when they change to a different risk level. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that he can explain how the information is entered in the Provider Data Management System (PDMS) to Sarah Croog offline.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) questioned the data regarding the results for polygraph exams and polygraph suitability. Mike Knotek (SOMB Member) responded that it is not known if a client is suitable until the polygraph charts are run. Carl Blake indicated that some way of capturing these situations in the PDMS accurately would be more beneficial. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) noted that Dr. Yuanting Zhang is continuing to bring changes to the Committee for revisions. She noted that qualitative data may also help to explain those situations more clearly. Mike Knotek noted there is a "why" box in the PDMS to capture those individuals who are not suitable for polygraph testing.

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) asked that any additional questions be emailed to Dr. Yuanting Zhang. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) noted that the Executive Committee can also review any questions.

Norma Aguilar-Dave left the meeting at 2:20 pm.

Audience Discussion:

None

Mike Knotek left the meeting at 2:51 pm.

ADULT AND JUVENILE STANDARDS REVISIONS – SECTION 2.00, EVALUATION TIME FRAME (Action Item):

(Attachment #3) Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair, Dr. Carl Blake, Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee Chair, and Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced and reviewed the Adult Standards (Section 2.115) and the Juvenile Standards (Section 2.110) regarding the proposed change in the evaluation time frame. She indicated that the recommendation is that an evaluation should be completed within 90 days of the client's referral, and if not, a justification letter needs to be submitted in 30 days as to why. Erin Austin mentioned that the exception will be for those individuals who are on wait lists in Denver Youth Services or in the Department of Corrections.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that the evaluation time frame change will be reflected in Section 2.110 of the Juvenile Standards and in Section 2.115 of the Adult Standards. She noted that with Board approval, these changes will go out for 30 days for public input and comment. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) indicated that this change was discussed and approved through multiple committees to include the Juvenile and Adult Standards Revisions Committees, the Victim Advocacy Committee, and the Best Practices Committee.

Board Discussion:

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) noted that this change was made as a provider accountability measure for the Application Review Committee when these situations occur.

Audience Discussion:

None

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to send the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revisions in Section 2.000 out for public comment.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Voting Session #817383

Motion to send the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revisions in Section 2.000 out for public comment: Carl Blake; Jessica Dotter 2nd (Question #3)

14	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS – SECTION 5.210, EVALUATION (Action Item): (Attachment #4) Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair, and Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced and reviewed the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revision in Section 5.210 which requires a monthly "written" progress report from treatment providers to be given to supervising officers.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that this revision was vetted through the Adult Standards Revisions Committee, the Victim Advocacy Committee, and the Best Practices Committee for approval. She noted that with Board approval, this revision can be sent out for the 30-day public comment period.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to send the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revisions in Section 5.210 out for public comment.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Voting Session #817383

Motion to send the Adult Standards Revisions in Section 5.210 out for public comment: Carl Blake; Taber Powers 2nd (Question #4)

14	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

BOARD MEETING ADJOURNS: 3:02 pm

Respectfully,

Jill N. Trowbridge

Digitally signed by Jill N. Trowbridge
Date: 2023.05.08 12:58:40 -06'00'

Jill Trowbridge
Program Assistant

Date



Kimberly Kline
Chair of the SOMB

4/21/23

Date

Date Created: (Denver, GMT-06:00)

Questions: 4

Results Detail

Last Name	First Name	Q1 - Approve February Minutes	Q2 - Approve Revisions to Juvenile Standards Section 2.000 as Amended	Q3 - Approve Adult (Section 2.115) & Juvenile (Section 2.110) Evaluation Timeframes for Public Comment	Q4 - Approve Adult Section 5.210 for Public Comment
Abeyta	Katie	1	1	1	1
Aguilar-Dave	Norma	3 - via email	1	-	-
Ballinger	Casey	1	1	1	1
Blake	Carl	1	1	1	1
Bourgeois	David	1	3	1	1
Croog	Sarah	1	1	1	1
Dotter	Jessica	-	1	1	1
Hansen	Jesse	3	1	1	1
Holbrook	Sharon	3	-	-	-
Kildow	Gregg	-	-	-	-
Kline	Kim	1	1	-	-
Knotek	Mike	1	1	-	-
Kramer	Gary	1	1	1	1
Pilla	Hannah	1	1	1	1
Mayer	Lisa	1	1	1	1
Retting	Amanda	1	1	1	1
Powers	Taber	1	1	1	1
Simmons	Michelle	1	1	-	-
Taylor	Soraya	3	3	1	1
Weiss	Theresa	1	1	1	1

14 - Yes	16 - Yes	14 - Yes	14 - Yes
0 - No	0 - No	0 - No	0 - No
4 - Abstain	2 - Abstain	0 - Abstain	0 - Abstain

Answer Key:

1 = Yes

2 = No

3 = Abstain

Norma Aguilar-Dave called into the meeting at 9:21 am

Jessica Dotter arrived at 11:30 am

Kimberly Kline left the meeting at 1:23 pm.

Michelle Simmons left the meeting at 2:15 pm

Norma Aguilar-Dave left the meeting at 2:20 pm

14

Mike Knotek left the meeting at 2:51 pm.