

2010/07/09 11:09

DISPOSITION MATCHING SUMMARY REPORT  
COUNTS ARE BASED ON UNIQUE DOCKET NUMBERS, SUBMITTED FROM ICON TO CCIC.  
----- MONTHLY ----- LAST 12 MONTHS

DISPOSITION DATE	TOTAL CASES	MATCHED CASES	MATCHED PERCENTAGE	TOTAL CASES	MATCHED CASES	MATCHED PERCENTAGE
<u>ADAMS</u> 50.25%	70	20	28.57%	804	404	
<u>ALAMOSA</u> 12.50%	1	0	0.00%	8	1	
<u>ARAPAHOE</u> 40.95%	16	6	37.50%	210	86	
<u>ARCHULETA</u> 50.00%	0	0	0.00%	6	3	
<u>BACA</u> 50.00%	0	0	0.00%	4	2	
<u>BENT</u> 50.00%	0	0	0.00%	4	2	
<u>BOULDER</u> 43.94%	16	12	75.00%	198	87	
<u>CHAFFEE</u> 33.33%	0	0	0.00%	12	4	
<u>CHEYENNE</u> 100.00%	0	0	0.00%	1	1	
<u>CLEAR CREEK</u> 53.33%	3	1	33.33%	15	8	
<u>CONEJOS</u> 40.00%	0	0	0.00%	5	2	
<u>COSTILLA</u> 0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	
<u>CROWLEY</u> 100.00%	1	1	100.00%	4	4	
<u>CUSTER</u> 50.00%	0	0	0.00%	2	1	
<u>DELTA</u> 66.67%	1	1	100.00%	18	12	
<u>DENVER</u> 0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	
<u>DOLORES</u> 0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	
<u>DOUGLAS</u> 53.19%	24	11	45.83%	235	125	

<a href="#">EAGLE</a>	2010-06	2	0	0.00%	29	5
17.24%						
<a href="#">ELBERT</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	39	8
20.51%						
<a href="#">EL PASO</a>	2010-06	44	39	88.64%	478	359
75.10%						
<a href="#">FREMONT</a>	2010-06	2	0	0.00%	65	25
38.46%						
<a href="#">GARFIELD</a>	2010-06	6	2	33.33%	73	32
43.84%						
<a href="#">GILPIN</a>	2010-06	3	1	33.33%	4	2
50.00%						
<a href="#">GRAND</a>	2010-06	1	0	0.00%	13	12
92.31%						
<a href="#">GUNNISON</a>	2010-06	1	1	100.00%	18	12
66.67%						
<a href="#">HINSDALE</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	0	0
0.00%						
<a href="#">HUERFANO</a>	2010-06	2	2	100.00%	16	15
93.75%						
<a href="#">JACKSON</a>	2010-06	1	0	0.00%	14	2
14.29%						
<a href="#">JEFFERSON</a>	2010-06	43	9	20.93%	633	274
43.29%						
<a href="#">KIOWA</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	2	2
100.00%						
<a href="#">KIT CARSON</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	4	4
100.00%						
<a href="#">LAKE</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	7	5
71.43%						
<a href="#">LA PLATA</a>	2010-06	9	3	33.33%	53	26
49.06%						
<a href="#">LARIMER</a>	2010-06	25	1	4.00%	295	55
18.64%						
<a href="#">LAS ANIMAS</a>	2010-06	1	1	100.00%	10	8
80.00%						
<a href="#">LINCOLN</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	6	6
100.00%						
<a href="#">LOGAN</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	23	19
82.61%						
<a href="#">MESA</a>	2010-06	13	8	61.54%	201	85
42.29%						
<a href="#">MINERAL</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	0	0

## Disposition Matching Summary 2010-06

0.00%	<a href="#">MOFFAT</a>	2010-06	1	1	100.00%	18	11
61.11%	<a href="#">MONTEZUMA</a>	2010-06	3	1	33.33%	25	15
60.00%	<a href="#">MONTROSE</a>	2010-06	2	1	50.00%	47	31
65.96%	<a href="#">MORGAN</a>	2010-06	8	7	87.50%	54	43
79.63%	<a href="#">OTERO</a>	2010-06	1	1	100.00%	19	13
68.42%	<a href="#">OURAY</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	2	1
50.00%	<a href="#">PARK</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	8	4
50.00%	<a href="#">PHILLIPS</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	5	3
60.00%	<a href="#">PITKIN</a>	2010-06	2	0	0.00%	11	4
36.36%	<a href="#">PROWERS</a>	2010-06	3	1	33.33%	16	12
75.00%	<a href="#">PUEBLO</a>	2010-06	8	6	75.00%	148	116
78.38%	<a href="#">RIO BLANCO</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	5	1
20.00%	<a href="#">RIO GRANDE</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	5	0
0.00%	<a href="#">ROUTT</a>	2010-06	3	0	0.00%	18	10
55.56%	<a href="#">SAGUACHE</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	10	3
30.00%	<a href="#">SAN JUAN</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	2	0
0.00%	<a href="#">SAN MIGUEL</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	4	2
50.00%	<a href="#">SEDGWICK</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	0	0
0.00%	<a href="#">SUMMIT</a>	2010-06	1	0	0.00%	27	2
7.41%	<a href="#">TELLER</a>	2010-06	3	0	0.00%	24	4
16.67%	<a href="#">WASHINGTON</a>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	2	0
0.00%							

## Disposition Matching Summary 2010-06

<u>WELD</u>	2010-06	31	29	93.55%	360	275
76.39%						
<u>YUMA</u>	2010-06	2	2	100.00%	12	7
58.33%						
<u>BROOMFIELD</u>	2010-06	3	3	100.00%	40	27
67.50%						
<u>COLORADO BUREAU OF I</u>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	1	0
0.00%						
<u>COLORADO STATE PATRO</u>	2010-06	2	1	50.00%	29	14
48.28%						
<u>DENVER POLICE DEPT</u>	2010-06	55	1	1.82%	619	88
14.22%						
<u>COUSA</u>	2010-06	0	0	0.00%	1	1
100.00%						
<u>STATE TOTAL</u>	2010-06	413	173	41.89%	5021	2385
47.50%						