Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice

Community Corrections Task Force MINUTES

September 12, 2022 / 10:30AM-12:00PM Virtual Meeting

ATTENDEES TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Glenn Tapia, *Task Force Chair*, Division of Probation Services Doug Carrigan, Advantage Treatment Centers Kristiana Huitron, Voces Unidad for Justice Ken Kupfner, District Attorney's Office/ 20th Judicial District Katie Ruske, Office of Community Corrections / Division of Criminal Justice, Mark Wester, Com Cor Inc. James Karbach, State Public Defender/ Arapahoe County

<u>STAFF</u>

Richard Stroker, CCJJ Consultant Jack Reed, Research Director, Division of Criminal Justice Laurence Lucero, CCJJ Staff, Division of Criminal Justice Stephané Waisanen, Division of Criminal Justice

ABSENT

David Coleman, Second Chance Center John Draxler, Probation Services/ 13th Judicial District Tim Hand, Larimer County Community Corrections Todd Rowell, Mesa County Sheriff's Office

GUESTS

Anne Andrew, Parole Board JR Hall, Parole Board Chair Chris Meeks, Denver Community board and Co. Association of Community Corrections Boards (CACCB) Chrystal Owin, DCJ, Office of Community Corrections Mike Tessean, Parole Board Dianne Tramutola-Lawson Matthew Tullis, DCJ, Office of Community Corrections Tahnee Santambrogio, DCJ, Office of Community Corrections

Agenda Welcome Introductions Review of Agenda Glenn Tapia, Task Force Chair	Discussion Glenn Tapia, Chair of the Task Force welcomed and thanked everyone for participating in the Community Corrections Task Force. The goals for the meeting are to receive a presentation on Community Corrections placement outcomes, discuss and prioritize the scope of work, and define the targeted population.
Issue/Topic August 22 Meeting Recap & Prioritize Scope of Work Richard Stroker, CCJJ Consultant	Discussion Richard Stroker recapped that the group received information about people placed on probation.
Issue/Topic Community Corrections Placement Outcomes & Scope of Work Matthew Tullis & Chrystal Owin Office of Community Corrections	Conclusion Matthew Tullis and Chrystal Owin from the Division of Criminal Justice, Office of Community Corrections, presented a PowerPoint outlining Community Corrections placement outcomes. The full presentation can be found on the Commission website in the "Materials" section at ccjj.colorado.gov/ccjj-CCTF DISCUSSION
DATA REQUESTED: Remaining reasons for denials	The same decision tool is used to determine acceptance of whether an individual is diverted to or directly sentenced to community corrections. Patterns of community supervision failures are considered by community corrections boards and often result in denials of re-placement in Community Corrections (CC). The top three reasons for denial represent about 45% of referrals (#1 "Other" with 20%; Disciplinary History at 13%, and Pattern of Community Supervision Failure (11%). The denial reasons are not mutually exclusive; there can be up to five denial reasons per referral. The group requested more information about the 55% remaining reasons for denials. Chrystal Owin will provide this information at the next meeting.
	The group discussed that the current system is not structured to help people succeed. About 60% of misdemeanants on probation have high risks/high needs, and the only response is probation or jail. Glenn Tapia believed that the "system was failing the people." He reiterated many cases are revoked numerous times because probationers fail the conditions of their probation while their outcomes remain unchanged. He provided other examples where the "system fails the people" such as those unsuccessful in dual diagnosis programs and sent back to probation, or parolees sent to probation on misdemeanor charges. Probation doesn't have an adequate structure to supervise misdemeanants who continually violate their conditions of probation.

	14/b where we also as a second with a second bio second seco
Issue/Topic Community Corrections Placement Outcomes &	What would make community corrections more successful than probation for misdemeanors? Why Community Corrections and for Who?
Scope of Work	Individuals scoring high risks/high needs, specifically, people with severe substance abuse do not have great success rates, whether on probation or in community corrections.
DATA REQUESTED: Treatment options in CC and in Probation	The group discussed the need for data regarding the most effective services and treatments in community corrections and in probation. What are the differences, availability, and effectiveness of the treatment? What is the right continuum of care for specific needs?
	What are the characteristics of the clients that are successful community corrections? Identify the population by risks/needs levelhomeless, individuals with substance abuse disorders, clients with mental health disorders, the need for employment, or pro-social connections.
	There is a population of misdemeanants who serve a long-term sentence in jails. Would this population benefit from a transfer to a community corrections program? Many jails are cutting their work release programs, and community corrections programs might be an appropriate response, especially for those needing housing or employment.
	Concern was expressed about mixing the population of misdemeanants with other populations in community corrections (i.e. transition clients or direct sentenced clients).
	What would happen when misdemeanants fail a program because they escape, commit a new crime, or have a technical violation? The only current response is the county jail.
	<i>Is Community Corrections the right answer? If not, what is the right continuum of care response?</i>
ACTION: Further Discussion: Characteristics of the targeted population	Substance abuse is a challenging issue in the state. Problem-solving courts were discussed as an effective response to reduce substance use and recidivism rates.
	Other potential responses discussed included problem-solving courts, work releases, in-home detention, or dual diagnosis residential programs.
ACTION: Data: Work Release programs in Colorado	 What are the characteristics of the targeted population? At risk of probation revocation Individuals with clinical or criminogenic high risks/high needs Socio-economic and stability factors: people with specific needs forhousing, employment, etc. Direct sentenced to jail.

Issue/Topic Community Corrections Placement Outcomes & Scope of Work	How many jurisdictions offer work release and home detention? Ken Kupfner will reach out to the Colorado District Attorney's Council and staff the County Sheriffs' Association. JR Hall mentioned data collected by Larimer County about work release programs available in ten counties in Colorado.
Issue/Topic	Conclusion
Public Comment	No public comment was offered.

Issue/Topic Next Steps	Conclusion
Adjourn Richard Stroker, CCJJ Consultant & Glenn Tapia	Richard Stroker recapped the information on Community Corrections placement outcomes. The group discussed the need for additional data regarding capacity in Community Corrections programs.
	At the next meeting, the group will identify the characteristics of the population that would be better served in Community Corrections and start answering the questions from House Bill 2022-1368.

Next Meeting

Monday, October 10, 2022 / 10:30am-12:00 pm

Meeting information will be emailed to members and posted at, colorado.gov/ccjj/ccjj-meetings