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BROAD CONSIDERATIONS

• Critical differences between adults and juveniles
• Importance of employing evidence-based strategies – definitions, using appropriate tools, defining goals for tools
• Sanctioning – immediacy is more important than severity
• Awareness of sensitivity to culture and ethnicity
• Implementation issues – definitions, fidelity to models
• Importance of line-staff interactions with all individuals
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Potential Focus Areas for Future Work

From Task Forces

1. Sex offender issues: Determinate F4 and Lifetime Supervision
   Need to gather data and information, identify problems in 6 months

2. Habitual offenders
   Short-term (6 months “tiger team” to make recommendations)

3. Impact on county jails
   Frequent utilizers
   Pre-trial population
   Community corrections options
   Mental health
   Identify problems, make recommendations within 12 months

4. Community Corrections
   Referral process
   Decision making
   Programming
   Identify problems, make recommendations within 12 months
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Potential Focus Areas for Future Work

Other Issues
5. Data and data sharing
   What data is needed?
   What can we learn from the data?
     Identification of data elements for analysis to determine effectiveness
     Investigate legal restrictions of data sharing
     Offender case record should reflect data throughout the system
6. Re-entry
   Collaborative partnerships to strengthen offender re-entry
     Address prison (potentially address jails)
   Coordination of resources
7. EBDM Support?
   Mesa County
   Statewide site expansion

A. Victim issues
   Consideration of victim impact of
   Commission recommendations

Statute of limitations
   Reasons to extend?
   Which crimes?
   Input from CCJJ
## Topics for Future Consideration

### Topic Prioritization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC #</th>
<th>Simple Rank</th>
<th>“Round” 1 Votes</th>
<th>“Round” 2 Votes</th>
<th>“Round” 3 Votes</th>
<th>Weighted Rank*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. EBDM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 Total=24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total=12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Re-Entry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 Total=19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total=12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Community</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 Total=23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections</td>
<td>Total=11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Data</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 Total=23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total=9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Sex Offense</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 Total=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total=4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Jail Impacts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 Total=5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Weighted ranks are offered if the order of selection were an indication of preference. The weighted rank is derived from the weighted sum of the first (vote total * 3), second (vote total * 2), and third (vote total * 1) round of topic selections.