

March 2014

Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice

CO-CHAIRS

Kelly Friesen, Grand County J.J. Department & S.B. 94, 14th J.D.

MEMBERS

Karen Ashby, Denver Juvenile Court **Michelle Brinegar,** 8th Judicial District Court **Steve Brittain,** La Plata Youth Services

(Durango)

Susan Colling, State Court Administrators, Probation Services

Kim Dvorchak, Colorado Juvenile Defender Coalition

Jacob Eppler, Attorney, Private Practice Sarah Ericson, District Attorney's Office, 18th Judicial District

Charles Garcia, Community At Large
John Gomez, Division of Youth Corrections
Regina Huerter, Denver Crime Prevention &
Control Commission

Jeff McDonald, Jefferson County, Juvenile Assessment Center

Bill Kilpatrick, Golden Police Department **Julie Krow,** Office of Children, Youth and Family Services

Beth McCann, State Representative, House District 8

Ann Gail Meinster, 1st Judicial District Court **Stan T. Paprocki,** Division of Behavioral Health

Hal Sargent, District Attorney's Office, 1st
Judicial District

Norene Simpson, Indigent Juvenile Defense Counsel

Meg Williams, Juvenile Parole Board & Division of Criminal Justice

Commission members in red

Topic Areas

- 1. Pre-filing Options: Definitions and statutes
- 2. Petty Ticket
- 3. Professionalism
- 4. Age of Delinquency: Detention
- 5. Re-ordering & Revising the Children's Code

Topic #1 / Pre-filing Options: Definitions and Statutes

Statement of the Problem

- Diversion should be used to keep juveniles out of the juvenile justice system.
- Diversion is not clearly defined in the Children's Code.
- Diversion is used inconsistently across jurisdictions.
- Diversion funds are sometimes used on non-diversion cases.

Topic #1 / Pre-filing Options: Definitions and Statutes

- Encourages diversion and treatment for minor offenses prior to the filing of a petition. Keeps juveniles out of the system to reduce criminogenic exposure. Research shows that juveniles who enter the system are more likely to reoffend.
- Increases difficulty of prosecution for juveniles that do not complete diversion programs.

Topic #1 / Pre-filing Options: Definitions and Statutes

- Work is underway to redefine diversion in the Children's Code.
- Possible recommendation presented to the CCJJ in the summer of 2014.

Topic #2 / Petty Ticket

Statement of the Problem

 Juveniles acquire delinquency records for minor offenses when formal petitions are filed. This can be avoided by establishing a process which clearly reflects a record of a petty offense rather than a juvenile petition.

Topic #2 / Petty Ticket

- Avoids the filing of a petition and formal proceedings. Does not create a delinquency record and avoids detrimental effects of a record later in life.
- Tracking of cases may be difficult, it is unclear what the consequence is for failure to comply with the petty ticket requirement.
- Details of the ticket process are under development.

Topic #2 / Petty Ticket

- Currently being studied by the Task Force.
- The Task Force is expected to vote on a recommendation at the April, 2014 meeting.
- Possible recommendation presented to the CCJJ in summer 2014.

Topic #3 / Professionalism

Statement of the Problem

- Professionals often lack expertise in the juvenile system because they use it as a stepping stone for adult criminal work.
- There are no core competency standards of practice for juvenile justice professionals.

Topic #3 / Professionalism

- There should be baseline training requirements for all professionals in the juvenile justice system to ensure juveniles receive excellent services.
- Creates a bureaucratic layer. Not enforceable.

Topic #3 / Professionalism

- Currently being studied by the Task Force.
- Possible recommendation presentation to CCJJ in the summer of 2014.

Topic #4 / Age of Delinquency: Detention

Statement of the Problem

• Juveniles under twelve should be treated differently because placing them in detention has the potential to expose them to older and more serious delinquents.

Topic #4 / Age of Delinquency: Detention

- Keeping younger juveniles out of detention keeps them away from older offenders, decreasing criminogenic effect.
- Alternative placements must be found, sometimes on short notice.
- It is difficult to differentiate juvenile development characteristics based solely on chronological age.

Topic #4 / Age of Delinquency: Detention

- Currently being studied by the task force.
- Possible recommendation presentation to CCJJ in the summer of 2014.

Topic #5 / Re-ordering & Revising the Children's Code

Statement of the Problem

- Article Two of the Children's Code is not in chronological order resulting in confusion and some contradictions.
- Some language in the Code needs updating and correcting.

Topic #5 / Re-ordering & Revising the Children's Code

- The lack of chronological order often results in confusion to practitioners. Such confusion can result in missed opportunities for juveniles.
- This is a large and time consuming undertaking which would require a very specific work plan.
- The Task Force will need some legislative drafting assistance.
- Members want to complete some existing work before proceeding.

Topic #5 / Re-ordering & Revising the Children's Code

- The Juvenile Justice Task Force would assign the work to a working group in June.
- Requires detailed work plan.

Discussion Priorities Next Steps

Topics Reminder

- 1. Pre-filing Options: Definitions and statutes
- 2. Petty Ticket
- 3. Professionalism
- 4. Age of Delinquency: Detention
- 5. Re-ordering & Revising the Children's Code