

March 2014

Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice

#### **CO-CHAIRS**

Norm Mueller, Private Defense Attorney

Jeanne Smith, Division of Criminal Justice

#### **MEMBERS**

Denise Balazic, Parole Board
Maureen Cain, Criminal Defense Attorney
Michael Dougherty, Assistant DA,
1st Judicial District

Matt Durkin, Attorney General's Office
Martin Egelhoff, Denver District
Court Judge
Mark Evans, State Public Defender's Office
Charles Garcia, Denver Crime
Prevention & Control Commission

Kate Horn-Murphy, Victims Representative, 17th Judicial District
Jason Middleton, Public Defender
Joe Pelle, Sheriff, Boulder County
Walt Pesterfield, DOC Division of
Adult Parole
Glenn Tapia, Division of Criminal Justice
Dianne Tramutola-Lawson, CURE
Dana Wilks, Judicial Department
Dave Young, DA, 17th Judicial District

**Commission members in red** 

#### **Topic Areas**

- 1. Sex Offense Issues: Determinate F4 and Lifetime Supervision
- 2. Habitual Offenders
- 3. Recent Impacts on County Jails
- 4. Mandatory Consecutive Sentences

# **Topic #1 / SO: Determinate F4 and Lifetime Supervision**

#### Statements of the Problem

- Problem #1 / Determinate F4
  - In January 2013, the CCJJ requested a group be convened to study the potential for creating a new Determinate F4 Sex
     Offense and to assess the impact on the need and availability for sex offender treatment.
- Problem #2 / Lifetime Supervision
  - The CCJJ also requested this group explore the possibility of creating a legal remedy whereby an offender may work their way through successful supervision and become eligible for removal from lifetime supervision.

# **Topic #1 / SO: Determinate F4 and Lifetime Supervision**

### **Pros/Cons/Feasibility**

- Sentencing for sex offense crimes is very complex, cost of services is high, people on both sides of the issue are polarized.
- There is disagreement about whether there's a need for a Determinate F4.

# **Topic #1 / SO: Determinate F4 and Lifetime Supervision**

#### Plan to address topic / Timeline

- The Sex Offense Working Group has created four teams to examine sex offense issues from a broader context (Money, Crime Classification, Front End Issues and Data Issues). The goal of these four teams is to get clear about current practice, gaps, and ideal future practice.
- Original work timeline for this group called for recommendations to be prepared and presented to the CCJJ by fall 2014, with work to conclude thereafter.

#### **Topic #2 / Habitual Offenders**

#### Statement of the Problem

 Significant inconsistency exists in the practice of filing habitual counts.

#### **Topic #2 / Habitual Offenders**

# **Pros/Cons/Feasibility**

 Current law weighs prior convictions (violent and non-violent) equally.

### **Topic #2 / Habitual Offenders**

#### Plan to address topic / Timeline

- Habitual charges and convictions are being studied as they
  pertain to the seriousness of the crime along with data on
  gender, race, length of sentence, charging frequency, and judicial
  district.
- Once the data compilation is complete and the outcomes are examined, the Sentencing Task force could begin work on a recommendation.
- Discussion are underway in the task force on next steps.

### **Topic #3 / Recent Impacts on County Jails**

#### Statement of the Problem

- Mandatory DUI sentences with no option for alternative sentencing.
- Increased mental health populations with longer lengths of stay and limited services to fit their needs.
- Frequent front-end users.

# **Topic #3 / Recent Impacts on County Jails**

### **Pros/Cons/Feasibility**

- Sheriffs are receptive to assistance from CCJJ.
- There are limited non-justice alternative options for offenders with mental health and DUI issues.
- Funding for alternatives is limited.
- There is a lack of statewide jail data to inform decision making.

# **Topic #3 / Recent Impacts on County Jails**

#### Plan to address topic / Timeline

 This is a new topic for the task force and a plan of action has not yet been determined.

### **Topic #4 / Mandatory Consecutive Sentences**

#### Statement of the Problem

 Mandatory Consecutive Sentences may be in conflict with evidence based sentencing practices. Those practices include selecting a placement, a sentence length, and a level of supervision that addresses the offender's individual characteristics and reduces recidivism.

### **Topic #4 / Mandatory Consecutive Sentences**

#### **Pros/Cons/Feasibility**

- The Sentencing Task Force has attempted to address mandatory consecutive sentences several times with limited success.
- However, task force members agree that this is an important topic but have not agreed on a solution.

### **Topic #4 / Mandatory Consecutive Sentences**

#### Plan to address topic / Timeline

Discussions are underway in the task force on next steps.

# Discussion Priorities Next Steps

### **Topics Reminder**

- 1. Sex Offense Issues: Determinate F4 and Lifetime Supervision
- 2. Habitual Offenders
- 3. Recent Impacts on County Jails
- 4. Mandatory Consecutive Sentences