MINUTES Sex Offense/Offender Task Force Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice September 21, 2010 9AM-12PM 150 East 10th Avenue, Denver

ATTENDEES:

CHAIR

David Kaplan, Private Defense Attorney

TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Michael Anderson, Parole Board Norma Anderson, Former State Senator Maureen Cain, Criminal Defense Bar Peggy Heil, Department of Corrections Erin Jemison, Colorado Coalition Against Sexual Assault Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Division of Criminal Justice Scot Smith, Colorado Judicial Branch Steve Siegel, Victim Advocacy, Victim organizations and Denver DA's Office Ted Tow, Colorado District Attorneys' Council

PUBLIC PARTICIPANTS

Allison Boyd, Victim Witness Assistance Unit, 1st Judicial DA's Office and SOMB Colleen Hackett, CU Boulder Jan Hunsaker, Advocates for Change Trisha Kelly Marlena MacArthur, CU Boulder Terri Morrison, Colorado Judicial Branch Roberta Ponis, Advocates for Change Casey Sloan, CU Boulder Carolyn Turner, Advocates for Change

STAFF

Paul Herman, CCJJ Consultant Kim English, Division of Criminal Justice Kevin Ford, Division of Criminal Justice Kerry Cataldo, Division of Criminal Justice Peg Flick, Division of Criminal Justice

ABSENT MEMBERS

Richard Schneider, Denver PD, SO Registration Laurie Kepros, Colorado Criminal Defense Bar Dianna Lawyer-Brook, CURE and SOMB

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Welcome and Introductions David Kaplan	 David Kaplan welcomed the group and provided a brief orientation to task force members: Provided a brief description of the Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ)
	 Described the process to create the Sex Offense/Offender Task Force Addressed the politically sensitive nature of the work to be done around sex offenses and offenders
	David thanked the members of the public in attendance at the meeting and encouraged a participatory role for non-members attendees. David provided an overview of the meeting agenda and described the mission of the task force to delineate issues and potential solutions.
	David introduced the DCJ staff and CCJJ consultant Paul Herman. Paul Herman invited the Task Force members to introduce themselves and to describe the most important attribute they bring to the Task Force and its deliberations. Members indicated such characteristics as: experience with victims and victim's issues, experience representing sex offenders in court, knowledge of sex offense laws and policies, experience prosecuting sex offenders, probation supervision of sex offenders, enacting sex offense legislation, and treating sex offenders.

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Membership and participation Task Force voting David Kaplan	David provided information regarding the participation of members and non- members in Task Force discussions. Discussions are open to all in attendance and anyone may contribute to Task Force discussions and deliberations. Voting privileges are available only to individuals officially appointed to the Task Force.
	After a presentation of proxy voting alternatives, it was unanimously decided that the Task Force would allow proxy voting. Specifically, a Task Force member can designate a substitute to attend the meeting in their place and this individual would be allowed to vote.

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Sex Offense/Offender Task Force Charter David Kaplan / Paul Herman	Those in attendance were provided a draft of the Sex Offense/Offender Task Force Charter for review. The logic underlying the selection of the Task Force membership was described as reflecting the relevant constituencies in the smallest number of members possible. David and Paul Herman led a discussion of the elements included in the Charter. The text provided below reflects the modifications and additions made to the Charter during the discussion. The draft charter included the following components:
	MISSION The mission of the Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ or "the Commission") is to enhance public safety, to ensure justice, and to ensure protection of the rights of victims through the cost-effective use of public resources. The mission of the

Issue/Topic:

Sex Offense/Offender Task Force Charter (cont'd) David Kaplan / Paul Herman

Action:

• This charter will be a working document that will be updated as needed.

 If any Task Force members have additional ground rules to add, please forward these to Kevin Ford for review at the October meeting. Sex Offense/Offender Task Force of the Commission is to uphold the CCJJ mission and to conduct a comprehensive and thorough analysis and assessment of adult and juvenile sex offenses and the issues surrounding the disposition of sex offenders in Colorado. The task force will develop recommendations to address these issues for the Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice.

BACKGROUND

In the summer of 2009, the CCJJ created the Sentencing Policy Task Force and the Drug Policy Task Force. The mission of the Drug Policy Task Force was to examine laws and sentencing specifically related to drug statutes. The Sentencing Policy Task Force was charged with undertaking a wider review of the overall sentencing scheme in Colorado. During the summer of 2010, the Commission evaluated its direction and future focus, agreeing to reframe the work of the Sentencing Policy Task Force which will be known as the Comprehensive Sentencing Task Force. Following a study of potential areas of focus, the Commission agreed to create two new task forces, one to study Juvenile Justice and one to study Sex Offenses/Offenders.

GROUND RULES

The new Sex Offense/Offender Task Force will carry out its work adhering to the Ground Rules developed by the Commission that state:

- 1. Public safety should always be paramount in our thoughts.
- 2. It is important that we are inclusive of all represented perspectives and areas of expertise, and that we commit to non-partisanship.
- 3. We agree to question our assumptions, maintain respect for differences and work towards common goals that meet the Commission's mandate.
- 4. We should seek outside help for areas where we are lacking in knowledge.
- 5. Because our decisions impact all of Colorado, they should be carefully considered from the perspectives of the various regions and diverse populations and the perspectives of offenders and victims across the state.
- 6. To the best of our ability, our decisions should be straightforward and timely.
- 7. Decisions are guided by data and should be aimed at crime prevention, reducing recidivism, achieving public safety through the most cost effective means and achieving justice.
- 8. We should be mindful that a need for treatment is not an adequate reason to incarcerate someone in DOC (other options should be available).

PROBLEM AREAS

A study group seated by the CCJJ met twice during the summer of 2010, identifying sex offense/offender issues for consideration by the Commission. In authorizing the Sex Offense/Offender Task Force, the Commission charged its members to address the issues raised by the study group and any other issues or problems identified during the course of its work. The study group identified **seven problem areas** where members felt consensus solutions could be found:

- 1. Registration / De-registration / Failure to Register
 - Complications due to homelessness
 - \circ $\;$ Registration procedures are not well defined
 - Registry entrants are not prioritized by risk
 - \circ SVP designation is of dubious value
 - Adam Walsh compliance complicates innovation
- 2. SOMB Sunset Review Bill
 - Must collaborate with SOMB on bill [as per Veto Message by Gov. Ritter for H.B.10-1364 on May 21, 2010]
- 3. Refinement of Indeterminate Sentencing

	 Inconsistent definitions of "sex offense" in statutes Lack of determinate contensing entires
Sex Offense/Offender	 Lack of determinate sentencing options Sentencing structure anomalies in statute (e.g., range consistency, economic
Task Force Charter	crimes)
(cont'd)	o
David Kaplan / Paul Herman	4. Lack of Resources
	 Lack of treatment resources
	 Deleterious impact of SVP designation on offenders
	 Community corrections acceptance practices restrictive, inconsistent
	 Community housing/SLA options are restrictive
	5. Conditions of Supervision
	 SOMB guidelines on conditions not followed
	 Inconsistent probation/parole response to technical violations
	6. Parole Release
	 Parole decisions for sex offenders are inadequately documented
	 No release guidelines for determinate-sentence offenders [as per C.R.S. 17-22.5-
	404 (4) (c) (II)]
	7. Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses
	 Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses Will be addressed by this task force and not by the Commission's Juvenile Justice
	Task Force
	The Task Force will avoid the labeling language inherent in the term, "juvenile sex
	offenders." Instead the group will use "juveniles who have committed sexual offenses."
	This is the same terminology used by the Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB). A
	sexual offense is defined in statute.
	The goal of the Sex Offense/Offender Task Force is to develop recommendations for
	consideration by the Commission. To accomplish this goal, the task force members must identify the need for self-education, must prioritize the issues to address, develop clear
	and precise recommendation statements, and adhere to the timeline set forth by the
	Commission.
	The Task Force may create working groups to explore specific areas of interest or carry
	out specific tasks requested by the Task Force. These working groups will not make final
	decisions on solutions or decision alternatives, but will bring all options to the Task Force
	for discussion.
	STRUCTURE
	 The Task Force will make recommendations directly to the Commission.
	 The Task Force shall comprise a representative sampling of the stakeholders and
	the community.
	• The Task Force Chair will be a Commission member.
	• The Task Force shall consist of approximately fifteen (15) voting members identified
	by the CCJJ Chair and Vice-chair.
	 Non -voting Task Force participants will be encouraged to provide input as directed
	by the Task Force Chair.
	• The Commission consultant will chair the Task Force when the Chair is unavailable.
	 The meetings will be held in the Denver Metro area. Conference calls will be used when passible to include stakeholders statewide
	when possible to include stakeholders statewide.
	 The team will implement the CCJJ "Ground Rules" to facilitate effective interaction. Research staff from the DCJ Office of Research and Statistics will:
	 Work with the chair to organize meetings and prepare the meeting agenda
	 Facilitate meetings to free the chair to lead the discussions
	 At the request of the Task Force Chair and members will,

Sex Offense/Offender Task Force Charter (cont'd)	 Provide information on existing knowledge and research Identify local data sources Analyze local data sources when feasible Work with researchers from other agencies to obtain relevant information.
David Kaplan / Paul Herman	 DESIRED OUTCOME The task force will develop recommendations for the Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice that: Are consistent with and support the mission and goals of the Commission; Enhance the goal of public safety through rehabilitation, deterrence and punishment; Are rational and consistent; Reduce unwarranted disparity; Are comprehensible to the public, the victim and the offender; Take into account the fiscal impact on state and local jurisdictions; and Allow for individualized disposition of offenders while maintaining accountability and consistency. ESTIMATED TIME FRAMES Short-term → Prepare specific legislative recommendations for CCJJ that are possible for the 2011 legislative session Long-term → Prepare remaining legislative recommendations and policy recommendations

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
SOMB Sunset Review Bill David Kaplan	Upon reviewing the Charter, there was a question why the Task Force, and by extension the Commission was involved in the SOMB Sunset Review bill. David provided the the veto message by Gov. Ritter for H.B.10-1364 on May 21, 2010
Action: • Erin Jemison, CCASA, will provide an update on the status of the SOMB Sunset Review bill.	I will direct my office, the Colorado Criminal Justice Commission, the Sex Offender Management Board, and the impacted executive agencies to work with the members of the General Assembly to prepare a bill that can be introduced on the first day of the 2011 legislative session.
	Because the Commission is mentioned in the veto message, the Task Force will honor this veto message. However, many other groups (i.e. COVA, DCJ, CCASA, etc) are taking the lead on the bill. Members decided that through representatives serving on the TF and working on the bill, that the TF will monitor the progress on the bill and receive updates on the process. Once the bill is prepared the TF will take an opportunity to review the bill, provide feedback, and, ultimately, decide whether to endorse its content.
	There was a brief discussion regarding the efforts by CCASA (<u>www.ccasa.org/</u>) and COVA (<u>www.coloradocrimevictims.org/</u>) to include stakeholder input. Erin Jemison and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky described the plan for stakeholder input.

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Issue Prioritization	David Kaplan led a discussion with TF members to prioritize the issues to be
David Kaplan	addressed by the TF. There are particular areas where the TF has the opportunity to forward legislative recommendations to the CCJJ for the upcoming session. Following a long discussion of the problem areas, the TF generated a list of initial issues they wish to prioritize. The TF will plan to develop
Action	legislative recommendations regarding these topics for the 2011 legislative session.
Action Education is needed on various 	Session.
 Task force members or participants should provide Kevin 	 Registration / Deregistration Failure to register Transient sex offenders SVP designation
Ford with any additional information that they wish to share with the task (e.g. sex offenses, statutes, Adam Walsh,	 Juvenile registration "Refinement" (Indeterminate/Determinate) Review statutes for inconsistency and gaps Parole Release/Guidelines with Lifetime Supervision (if time permitting)
etc.	The TF decided to address the first two items first and, if time permits in the current legislative round, address the third item. See below for a summary of the topics to be addressed by the two Working Groups created to work on the first two prioritized items. The elements included below to describe the two Working Groups were derived from the issues prioritization discussion. It was felt that Juvenile issues should be addressed simultaneously with adult issues.

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Working Group Creation	Registration Working Group
and Expectations	Members:
	Maureen Cain (Leader) Rick Schneider
	Norma Anderson Scot Smith
Action	Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky
• Terri Morrison and Chris Lobanov-	
Rostovsky are going to send Kevin	Expectations
Ford a copy of their registration charts.	 Groups will serve as research bodies to prepare materials, options, and arguments for the Task Force to consider. Work Groups should not prematurely narrow alternatives or perspectives. The substantive discussions leading to decisions will occur in the Task Force and not in the Work Group. Working group meetings will be public and the times and places will be provided in advance to allow any task force participants or interested parties to participate. Meetings conducted primarily by phone should still accommodate public members.

Issue/Topic:	
	• Submit materials to Kevin Ford for dissemination to the Task Force by the
Working Group Creation	Friday preceding the next Task Force meeting. Any materials considered
and Expectations	"required reading" before the upcoming task force meeting will be sent via
	e-mail on the preceding Friday, along with the Task Force meeting agenda.
Registration Working Group	
(cont'd)	Topics: Adult and juvenile matters related to
	 Registration, de-registration, failure to register,
	 Disparate, exorbitant registration fees,
	 STAR program in Douglas County (promote as a state-wide model?),
	 Regarding juveniles, the registration process for juveniles transitioning to adult "status," or to group homes,
	 Inadequacy of the SO registry to differentiate level of public risk
	(especially, with the potential elimination of the not-useful and not-required SVP designation),
	 Multi-jurisdictional communication regarding transferring registration and/or de-listing of offenders.
	Tasks:
	 Prioritize short- vs. long-term topics (Identify legislative vs. policy)
	 Identify need for task force education and education materials on topics
	 Identify any existing groups or work on topics
	Deliverables:
	 List and provide rationale for order of prioritized topics
	 Provide educational materials for short-term topics
	(If possible, identify materials needed for long-term topics)
	 List of groups/contacts already working on topics
	Meeting Schedule:
	Dates: Friday, October 1, 2010
	October 8, 2010
	Wednesday, October 13, 2010
	Time: 10:00am-Noon
	Location: 150 E. 10th Avenue, Denver
	(Additional Working Group meetings will be scheduled after the Oct. 20 SO TF meeting.)

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Working Group Creation and Expectations	Refinement (Indeterminate/Determinate Sentencing)Members:Ted Tow (Leader)Laurie KeprosPeggy HeilDianna Lawyer-BrookErin Jemison
	 Expectations Groups will serve as research bodies to prepare materials, options, and arguments for the Task Force to consider. Work Groups should not prematurely narrow alternatives or perspectives. The substantive

Issue/Topic:	discussions leading to decisions will occur in the Task Force and not in the Work Group.
Working Group Creation and Expectations	• Working group meetings will be public and the times and places will be provided in advance to allow any task force participants or interested parties to participate. Meetings conducted primarily by phone should still accommodate public members.
Refinement Working Group	
(Indeterminate/Determinate Sentencing) (cont'd)	• Submit materials to Kevin Ford for dissemination to the Task Force by the Friday preceding the next Task Force meeting. Any materials considered "required reading" before the upcoming task force meeting will be sent via e-mail on the preceding Friday, along with the Task Force meeting agenda.
Action	
• Terri Morrison and Ted Tow are	Topics: Adult and juvenile matters related to
going to work together on updating a chart on sex offenses.	Determinate F4 option
upuating a chart of sex offenses.	 Drop-off from indeterminate F4 to F5 Inconsistent/illogical sentencing, for example consequences of acts of penetration vs. acts of contact
	 Inadequate application of "position of trust"
	 Review "indeterminate optional" crimes (Why subject to SVP assessment?) Economic crimes
	Tasks:
	 Prioritize short- vs long-term topics (Identify legislative vs. policy) Identify need for task force education and education materials on topics Identify any existing groups or work on topics
	Deliverables:
	List and provide rationale for order of prioritized topics
	 Provide educational materials for short-term topics
	(If possible, identify materials needed for long-term topics)
	 List of groups/contacts already working on topics
	Meeting Schedule:
	Dates: Thursday, September 30, 2010
	Thursday, October 7, 2010
	Thursday, October 14, 2010
	Time: 10:00am-Noon
	Location: CDAC, 1580 Logan Street, Suite 420, Denver, CO 80203 (Additional Working Group meetings will be scheduled after the Oct. 20 SO TF meeting.)

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Parole Release/Guidelines and Lifetime Supervision David Kaplan	Time permitting during the upcoming legislative session, the task force would like to also focus on parole release and guidelines regarding offenders under lifetime supervision. If there is not enough time to address these issues in the current legislative session, the TF will return to this work later with the intent to include this material in the subsequent legislative session.
Action	
• Judicial will publish a report on the types of technical violations committed by sex offenders. Once this report is finalized and released, a judicial representative will share it with the Task Force.	 The problem areas the members would like to address include: Is lifetime supervision being used correctly? Are judges trained on lifetime (DOC vs Probation)? Conditions of release and supervision Community Corrections Boards Victims Services Housing and Local government (e.g. SLA/zoning laws)

Issue/Topic:	Discussion:
Next Steps David Kaplan	 David summarized the goals before the next Sex Offense / Offender Task Force meeting: David will provide an update to the CCJJ on the initial work and plans of the Task Force The two Working Groups will attempt to meet at least three times prior to the next SO TF meeting The timeline for the next steps by the Task Force will be governed by the deadlines imposed by the CCJJ. The goal is for the Commission to complete all reviews of and voting on legislative recommendations by the December 10, 2010 CCJJ meeting. The documents produced at this meeting are available on the Sex Offense / Offender Task Force webpage on the CCJJ website. The direct link to the Task Force page is: http://cdpsweb.state.co.us/cccjj/Sex offender task force.htm

Future Sex Offense/Offender Task Force Meeting Dates:

Date	Location	Time
Wednesday, October 20, 2010	150 E. 10 th Avenue, Denver	1:30-4:30PM
Wednesday, November 17, 2010	TBD	1:30-4:30PM
Wednesday, December 15, 2010	TBD	1:30-4:30PM