

**Data Sharing Task Force
Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice**

Minutes

March 2, 2016, 9:30 AM – 11:30 PM
700 Kipling, 3rd Floor North Conference Room

ATTENDEES: CHAIR

Jeanne Smith (Chair), Division of Criminal Justice

TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Scott Turner, Attorney General's Office

ABSENT

Kris Nash, for Eric Philp, Division of Probation Service
Kevin Paletta, Lakewood Police Department
Lang Sias, House Representative Maureen
Cain, Defense Attorney Meg Williams,
Juvenile Parole

GUEST

Meghan Dollar, Colorado Municipal League (CML)

STAFF

Christine Adams, Division of Criminal Justice

Issue/Topic: Municipal Data	Discussion:
Action:	<p>Ms. Smith briefly described the history of this group, and the Commission's history regarding the topic of data sharing, with Ms. Dollar.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Commission recently approved a recommendation from this task force regarding the creation of a statewide governance committee for data sharing. • We had hoped that CICJS would take the lead but there has been some pushback; some discussions have been had with Xerox; and some work is being done on the health side with CO-RIO. • Everyone seems interested in mental health information but that is a touchy subject so for now we've decided to pursue municipal data. • Ms. Smith stated that we've spoken to Adams County (specifically, Debbie Allen) a lot about their platform, who is involved, who has access and how it was created. • We do not want to be the governance committee but want to design a recommendation that could go to the Commission and/or the Governor on who should be represented on such a committee and what it might cost. <p>Ms. Dollar stated that there is interest in in municipal data sharing. The data is public, it's just not centralized. It was suggested that we begin by speaking to different sized jurisdictions to get a feel for interest and need.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large: Denver/Aurora, • Medium: Lakewood/Westminster/Boulder, • Small: Fort Morgan/Cortez/Durango <p>Ms. Dollar stated that she would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provide a list of municipalities that sit on the CML board. • provide a list of municipalities with court administrators. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Most courts have court administrators, but some use judges (Colorado Springs uses the chief judge as a court administrator because Colorado Springs uses all half time judges). • set up and possibly facilitate a meeting with court administrators • (this must wait until after the legislative session). • research other local data sharing models that may exist (outside of Adams County) even if on a smaller scale. • Find the contact for Colorado Municipal Judges (CMJ) so we can pull them into the discussion. <p>CML does a lot of training including webinars and would be interested in developing one about what it is we're looking to create to help reach out to interested stakeholders.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ms. Smith and Mr. Turner both thought this was a great idea - Ms. Dollar will talk with a colleague about creating a webinar by late May or June.

	<p>There have been concerns about privacy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Defense concerns - ACLU concerns (including, but not limited to, mental health records carrying on forever) - HIPPA concerns - MH holds, records - But this is why we're not starting with MH information. <p>Tiny towns may not have electronic information but in a way they may be easier to work with because they'll be less stuck to their systems.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This may (eventually) be used to develop a simple system for the small towns. <p>If we made a recommendation should it be a whole new group or should it be part of an existing group?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CICJS is what comes to mind first, but that's what we know. They would only be the starting point. - Push back from them is due to the statute and due to criminal justice agencies. The reason for the pushback becomes a little more unclear when we talk about changing the statute and who would be supportive of that. - It's hard to go to OIT when they already have so many problems. <p>A request for the Adams County governance agreement should be sought. The April meeting will be cancelled.</p> <p>We should all complete our "homework" from this meeting. Other items still needing to be addressed from February include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ms. Smith will also post a question on the NCJA list serve to see who else has done something similar. • Chief Paletta will call their chief judge to see who we should talk to/invite. • Ms. Nash stated that she will talk to ITS at Judicial to see if they have any ideas. A possible judicial contact could describe the system and the cost.
--	---

Meeting adjourned at 10:15 a.m.

CCJJ Data Sharing Task Force – 2016 Meeting Dates

~~April 6: 9:30am - 11:30am~~ CANCELLED

May 4: 9:30am - 11:30am

June 1: 9:30am - 11:30am

July 6: 9:30am - 11:30am

August 3: 9:30am - 11:30am

September 7: 9:30am - 11:30am

October 5: 9:30am - 11:30am

November 2: 9:30am - 11:30am

December 7: 9:30am - 11:30am