APPENDIX ***** QUALITY ASSURANCE PROTOCOL FOR POST-CONVICTION SEX OFFENDER POLYGRAPH TESTING

This protocol provides a framework for the content and completion of quality assurance reviews as specified in sections 4 and 6 of these *Standards and Guidelines*.

Quality Assurance Referral Form

Requesting Parties/Agencies:		Date	of Request:
Examinee (name or initials):			
Examination/case number:			
Date of Examination:			
Primary Reason(s) for Review: _			
Reviewing Examiner:			
Date of request:			
Original Examiner:			
Type of Polygraph (circle one):	Event Specific	Sexual History	Maintenance/Monitoring
List of items received for conduc	ting the Quality A	ssurance:	
• Complete list of all test que	estions	yes	no
All primary test charts			no
Video/audio recording		yes	no
• Examiner score sheets		2	no
Examination report			no
Computer algorithm scoresOther information received			no

signature of supervision team member / date

signature of supervision team member / date

Polygraph QA Protocol Appendix *** PAGE 2 OF 8

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROTOCOL

Examination ID:	Date of Examination:
Reviewing Examiner:	Date of Review:
Original Examiner:	

To be completed by the reviewing examiner and reviewed with the original examiner:

1. Pretest Interview:

A. Purpose of examination explained or reviewedAdequate	Inadequate
B. Examinee treated with respect and dignityAdequate	Inadequate
C. Suitability for testing (medical, age, psychological Special needs, language barriers/translation)Adequate	Inadequate
D. Polygraph procedure and equipment explainedAdequate	Inadequate
E. Interview conducted in a non-accusatory mannerAdequate	Inadequate
F. Thoroughness and scope of pretest interviewAdequate	Inadequate
G. Target issues were reviewed to assure examinee's understanding	Inadequate
 H. Question Construction 1. Effective target selection	Inadequate
2. Questions did not mix time of reference and frame of reference	Inadequate
3. Questions avoided jargon and legal terminologyAdequate	Inadequate
4. Questions avoided terminology that elicits excessive emotion	Inadequate
5. Questions were simple and directAdequate	Inadequate

Polygraph QA Protocol Appendix *** PAGE 3 OF 8

	6. Questions avoided divergent compound issuesAdequate	Inadequate
	7. Questions avoided motivation and state of mindAdequate	Inadequate
	8. Questions answerable with a 'yes' or 'no'Adequate	Inadequate
	9 Questions did not presuppose examinee's knowledge	Inadequate
	10. Questions presented in a neutral and objective manner Adequate	Inadequate
	11. Formulation and development of other test questions	Inadequate
	Test Phase: Employed a recognized testing technique/formatAdequate	Inadequate
B.	Acquaintance test was conducted when appropriateAdequate	Inadequate
C.	Proper selection and number of target issuesAdequate	Inadequate
D.	Minimum of four channels were recordedAdequate	Inadequate
E.	Proper question pacingAdequate	Inadequate
F.	Examiner used appropriate voice tone and inflection	Inadequate
G.	Three to five primary charts were collectedAdequate	Inadequate
H.	Response amplitude of chart recordingsAdequate	Inadequate
I.	Cardio cuff pressure and placementAdequate	Inadequate
J.	Countermeasure detection technology was employedAdequate	Inadequate
K.	In-test adjustments to Cuff, EDA, and pneumo sensitivity	Inadequate

Polygraph QA Protocol Appendix *** PAGE 4 OF 8

2.

	L.	In-test chart annotations	Adequate	Inadequate
3.	Po	st Test Interview:		
		Examinee was given opportunity to		
	11.	explain any reactions or remaining inconsistencies	Adequate	Inadequate
	в	Post-test interview conducted in an		
	D.	ethically responsible manner	Adequate	Inadequate
4.	Cł	nart Interpretation		
	A.	Data of sufficient quality		
		to render a professional opinion	Adequate	Inadequate
	В.	Examiner's opinion based upon		
		all obtained information	Adequate	Inadequate
	C.	Concurrence with examiner's reported results	Adequate	Inadequate
5.	Rep	ort		
		Indicates Adequate time was allotted		
		to complete the test.	Adequate	Inadequate
	В	Report Content		
	Ъ.	1. Date of test or evaluation	Adequate	Inadequate
		2. Reason for examination	Adequate	Inadequate
		3. Beginning and ending times of the examination	Adequate	Inadequate
		4. Name of person requesting exam	Adequate	Inadequate
		5. Name of examinee	Adequate	Inadequate
		6. Location of examinee in the criminal		
		justice system (probation, parole, etc.)	Adequate	Inadequate
		7. Case background (instant offense and conviction))Adequate	Inadequate

8.	Brief demographic information (marital status, children, living arrangements, employment or occupation)Adequate	Inadequate
9.	Statement attesting to the examinee's suitability for polygraph testing (medical/psychiatric/developmental consideration)Adequate	Inadequate
10	Date of last clinical examination (if known)Adequate	Inadequate
11	. Results of pre-test and post-test examinationAdequate	Inadequate
12	Examination questions and answersAdequate	Inadequate
13	. Examination results for each questionAdequate	Inadequate
15	. Reasons for inability to complete examAdequate	Inadequate
16	Any pertinent information from outside the exam (collateral information)Adequate	Inadequate
17	Additional relevant information	
	(examinee's demeanor or verbal statements)Adequate	Inadequate
18	. Court certified InterpreterAdequate	Inadequate
	ccurate speaker and statement attribution uotations, references, and paraphrasing)Adequate	Inadequate
	aminer identified and denoted y empirical qualifications	Inadequate

6. Other Considerations:

signature of reviewing examiner

date

signature of original examiner date

Polygraph Examination Quality Assurance Summary Report

To be completed by both the reviewing and original examiners, and submitted to the requesting agency and original examiner for filing.

Examination ID:	Date of Examination:
Original Examiner:	Reviewing Examiner:
Review Requested By:	Date/s of Review:

Examiner Section

Upon completion of this review, this examination was determined to be: (circle one)

date

- 1. Examination is supported results should be accepted.
- 2. Examination is not supported due to empirical limitations– results should be set aside.
- 3. Examination is supported though qualified with limitations results may be accepted with reasonable caution.
- 4. Review was not completed results may be set aside, retested, or referred for review by a panel or agency.

signature of reviewing examiner

signature of original examiner

date

Requesting Agency Section

Based on the above results, the team response is: (circle one)

- 1. Accept the polygraph test results:
- 2. Set aside the polygraph test results:

signature of supervision team member date

Polygraph QA Protocol Appendix *** PAGE 8 OF 8